

Global geometrised Rankin-Selberg method for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$

Sergey Lysenko

ABSTRACT We propose a geometric interpretation of the classical Rankin-Selberg method for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ in the framework of the geometric Langlands program. We show that the geometric Langlands conjecture for an irreducible unramified local system E of rank n on a curve implies the existence of automorphic sheaves corresponding to the universal deformation of E . Then we calculate the ‘scalar product’ of two automorphic sheaves attached to this universal deformation.

Introduction

0.1. In this paper, which is a continuation of [14], we propose a geometric interpretation of the global Rankin-Selberg method for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ in the framework of the geometric Langlands program. The corresponding classical result computes the scalar product of two cuspidal nonramified automorphic forms on $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over a function field.

Our first motivation is the following result of G. Laumon ([11]) and M. Rothstein ([16]) in the case of $\mathrm{GL}(1)$. Let X be a smooth, projective, connected curve of genus $g \geq 1$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Let M' be the Picard scheme classifying invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules L of degree zero. Denote by M the coarse moduli space of invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules L with connection $\nabla : L \rightarrow L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X$. This is an abelian group scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ (for the tensor product), which has a natural structure of a $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ -torsor over M' . In [11, 16] a certain invertible $\mathcal{O}_{M \times M'}$ -module Aut with connection (relative to M) is considered as a kernel of two Fourier transforms $\mathcal{F} : D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{M'}) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_M)$ and $\mathcal{F}' : D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_M) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{M'})$. The theorem of G. Laumon and M. Rothstein claims that *these functors are quasi-inverse to each other*.

This result can be obtained as a formal consequence of two orthogonality relations. One of them states that *the complex*

$$R(\mathrm{pr}_{12})_*(\mathrm{pr}_{13}^* \mathrm{Aut} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{23}^* \mathrm{Aut})$$

is canonically isomorphic to $\Delta_ \mathcal{O}_M$ in $D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_{M \times M})$ (up to a shift and a sign),* where $\mathrm{pr}_{13}, \mathrm{pr}_{23} : M \times M \times M' \rightarrow M \times M'$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{12} : M \times M \times M' \rightarrow M \times M$ are the projections, $\Delta : M \rightarrow M \times M$ is the diagonal, and the functor $R(\mathrm{pr}_{12})_*$ is understood in the $\mathcal{D}$ -modules sense.

0.2. An ℓ -adic analogue of this orthogonality relation is the following. Let X be a smooth, projective, connected curve of genus $g \geq 1$ over an algebraically closed field k . Fix a prime ℓ invertible in k and an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Let E_0 be a smooth $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf on X of rank 1.

The moduli space of ℓ -adic local systems on X is not known to exist. However, we can consider the deformations of E_0 over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ (cf. Sect. 3.1). The local system E_0 admits a universal deformation E (cf. Proposition 3). Let $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ be the base of this deformation. In fact, R is isomorphic to the ring of formal power series over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of dimension $2g$.

For a positive integer d we have the R -sheaf $E^{(d)}$ on the symmetric power $X^{(d)}$ of X (cf. Sect. 1.5). Let $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}^d X$ denote the Picard scheme of X parametrizing the isomorphism classes of invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of degree d . According to the geometric abelian class field theory, $E^{(d)}$ descends to a smooth R -sheaf E^d of rank 1 on $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}^d X$. Denote by E_1^d, E_2^d the two liftings of E^d to $\mathrm{Spf}(R \hat{\otimes}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} R)$. Essentially, we show that *there is a canonical isomorphism of $R \hat{\otimes} R$ -modules*

$$H^{2g}(\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}^d X, \mathcal{H}om(E_1^d, E_2^d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R(-g),$$

where the $R \hat{\otimes} R$ -module structure on R is given by the diagonal map $R \hat{\otimes} R \rightarrow R$. Besides, $H^i(\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}^d X, \mathcal{H}om(E_1^d, E_2^d)) = 0$ for $i \neq 2g$. Actually, a bit different statement is proved (cf. the discussion at the end of Sect. 0.4).

This is the particular case $n = 1$ of our Main Global Theorem (cf. Sect. 4.1), which is an analogue of this orthogonality relation for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$.

Already in the case of $\mathrm{GL}(1)$ we observe the important role of deformations. Applying the base change theorem for the above result, we get the scalar square of E_0^d , namely

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}^d X, \mathcal{E}nd(E_0^d, E_0^d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \otimes_{R \hat{\otimes} R}^L \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-g)[-2g]$$

As is easy to see, this complex has cohomology groups in all degrees $0, 1, \dots, 2g$. For $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ the answer will also be simpler when considered as an object on the moduli of parameters.

0.3. Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let ℓ be a prime invertible in $\mathbb{F}_q$. According to the Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over function fields (proved in full generality by L. Lafforgue), to any smooth geometrically irreducible $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf E on X is associated a (unique up to a multiple) cuspidal automorphic form $\varphi_E : \mathrm{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, which is a Hecke eigenvector with respect to E . The function φ_E is defined on the set $\mathrm{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of isomorphism classes of rank n vector bundles on X .

The global Rankin-Selberg for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ allows to calculate for any integer d the scalar product of two (appropriately normalized) automorphic forms

$$\sum_{L \in \mathrm{Bun}_n^d(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\# \mathrm{Aut} L} \varphi_{E_1^*}(L) \varphi_{E_2}(L), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathrm{Bun}_n^d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of vector bundles L on X of rank n and degree $d + n(n-1)(g-1)$, and $\# \mathrm{Aut} L$ stands for the number of elements in $\mathrm{Aut} L$. More precisely, this scalar product vanishes if and only if E_1 and E_2 are non isomorphic. In the case $E_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} E_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ the answer is expressed in terms of the action of the geometric Frobenius endomorphism on $H^1(X \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathcal{E}nd E)$.

Assume that φ_E is canonically normalized (cf. footnote 1). The local part of the classical Rankin-Selberg method for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ may be stated as the equality of formal series (cf. [14])

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{(\Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L) \in {}_n\mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\mathrm{Aut}(\Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L)} \varphi_{E_1^*}(L) \varphi_{E_2}(L) t^d = q^{n^2(1-g)} L(E_1^* \otimes E_2, t) \quad (2)$$

Here ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(\Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L)$, where L is a vector bundle on X of rank n and degree $d + n(n-1)(g-1)$, and Ω is the canonical invertible sheaf on X (Ω^{n-1} is embedded in L as a subsheaf, i.e., the quotient is allowed to have torsion). We have denoted by

$$L(E_1^* \otimes E_2, t) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{D \in X^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr}, (E_1^* \otimes E_2)_D^{(d)}) t^d$$

the L-function attached to the local system $E_1^* \otimes E_2$ (here Fr is the geometric Frobenius endomorphism).

The calculation of (1) is an asymptotic argument. First, rewrite (2) as

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{L \in \mathrm{Bun}_n^d(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\mathrm{Aut} L} (q^{\dim \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega^{n-1}, L)} - 1) \varphi_{E_1^*}(L) \varphi_{E_2}(L) t^d = q^{n^2(1-g)} L(E_1^* \otimes E_2, t)$$

The cuspidality of φ_E implies that if $\varphi_E(L) \neq 0$ and $\deg L$ is large enough then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega^{n-1}, L) = 0$, and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega^{n-1}, L) = d - n^2(g-1)$. To conclude, it remains to study the asymptotic behaviour of the above series when t goes to q^{-1} , using the cohomological interpretation

$$L(E_1^* \otimes E_2, t) = \prod_{r=0}^2 \det(1 - \mathrm{Fr} \, t, H^r(X \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, E_1^* \otimes E_2))^{(-1)^{r+1}}$$

of the L -function.

0.4. Let X be as in 0.2. Let E_0 be a smooth irreducible $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf on X of rank n . Let (E, R) be a universal deformation of E_0 (cf. Sect. 3.1). The base R is, in fact, isomorphic to the ring of formal power series over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of dimension $2 + (2g-2)n^2$. We assume the geometric Langlands conjecture for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ (Conjecture 1, Sect. 2.4), so that to E is associated a perverse R -sheaf Aut_E on the moduli stack Bun_n of rank n vector bundles on X , which is a geometric analogue of the automorphic form φ_E ¹. Denote by Aut_E^d the restriction of Aut_E to Bun_n^d , the connected component of Bun_n classifying vector bundles on X of rank n and degree $d + n(n-1)(g-1)$. One can calculate the cohomology

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(\mathrm{Bun}_n^d, \mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathrm{Aut}_E^d \otimes_{R \hat{\otimes} R} \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathrm{Aut}_E^d),$$

¹We normalize Aut_E as in Conjecture 1, this also gives a normalization of φ_E as the function trace of Frobenius of Aut_E .

which is a geometric analogue of (1). (Here $\text{pr}_i : \text{Spf}(R \hat{\otimes} R) \rightarrow \text{Spf } R$ are the two projections.) But the answer turns out to be ‘bad’: this complex has nontrivial cohomology groups in infinitely many degrees (bounded from above). To get the desired answer, we modify the problem as follows.

Scalar automorphisms of vector bundles provide an action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on Bun_n by 2-automorphisms of stacks. We introduce the stack $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ (cf. Sect. 2.5), the quotient of Bun_n under this action. There exists a perverse R -sheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}_E$ on $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ such that the inverse image of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_E[-1](-\frac{1}{2})$ under the projection $\text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ is identified with Aut_E .

Our Main Global Theorem essentially says that *if Conjecture 1 is true then for any integers i and d there is a canonical isomorphism of $R \hat{\otimes} R$ -modules*

$$H_c^i(\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d, \text{pr}_1^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_E^d \otimes_{R \hat{\otimes} R} \text{pr}_2^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_E^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} R, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where the $R \hat{\otimes} R$ -module structure on R is given by the diagonal map $R \hat{\otimes} R \rightarrow R$.

Actually a bit different statement is proved. Conceptually, we should work in the derived categories $D_c^b(Y, R)$ of complexes of R -sheaves in étale topology on Y , where Y is a k -scheme of finite type and R is the ring of formal power series over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. (For $R = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ this is the derived category of ℓ -adic sheaves on Y). However, for $\dim R > 0$ the definition of this derived category is not known. We impose an additional condition: the reduction of E_0 modulo ℓ has no nontrivial endomorphisms. This allows to work in another triangulated category denoted $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ (cf. Sect. 1.4), which ‘approximates’ the desired one. Our Main Global Theorem is an isomorphism in such triangulated category.

0.5. The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 1 we partially establish some formalism of R -sheaves on schemes. We adopt the point of view that an appropriate formalism of R -sheaves holds for algebraic stacks locally of finite type over k . In Sect. 2 we formulate the geometric Langlands conjecture relative to R (Conjecture 1), and essentially show that if this conjecture is true over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ then it is also true over the rings of formal power series over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ (actually a bit different statement is proved, cf. Proposition 2).

In Sect. 3.1-3.3 we study the deformations of local systems on X . In Sect. 3.4 we calculate the cohomology of some natural sheaves arising from the universal deformations. The proof of Main Global Theorem is given in Sect. 4.

We refer the reader to Sect. 0.2 of [14] for our conventions.

1 R -sheaves

1.1 Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a finite extension field of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$ the category of local Artin $\mathbb{E}$ -algebras with residue field $\mathbb{E}$ (the morphisms are local homomorphisms of $\mathbb{E}$ -algebras).

Let $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}})$ and Y be a k -scheme of finite type. The category $\text{Sh}(Y, A)$ of constructable A -sheaves on Y is the category of pairs (E, ρ) , where E is a constructable $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaf on Y , and $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ is an action of A on E .

A constructable A -sheaf (E, ρ) is *smooth of rank m* , if E is a smooth $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaf, and the fibres of (E, ρ) are free A -modules of rank m .

Let R be a complete local noetherian $\mathbb{E}$ -algebra with residue field $\mathbb{E}$ and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. We let $\text{Sh}(Y, R)$ be the projective 2-limit of $\text{Sh}(Y, R/\mathfrak{m}^n)$. This is a category whose objects are projective systems $(F_n, \psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $F_n \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sh}(Y, R/\mathfrak{m}^n))$ and $\psi_n : F_{n+1} \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}} R/\mathfrak{m}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} F_n$ is an isomorphism. Morphisms are defined as the morphisms of the corresponding projective systems.

Conceptually, we should work in the derived category $D_c^b(Y, R)$, where R is the ring of formal power series in several variables over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, but its definition is not known. We ‘approximate’ it by another triangulated category defined below.

1.2 Let A be a commutative ring, $S \subset A$ be a multiplicative system. We denote by A_S the localization of A in S . Given an A -additive category $\mathcal{A}$, denote by $\mathcal{A} \otimes_A A_S$ the category such that $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A} \otimes_A A_S) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$, and morphisms in $\mathcal{A} \otimes_A A_S$ from K to K' are $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(K, K') \otimes_A A_S$. This is an A_S -additive category. The following statement is left to the reader (the points i) and iii) follow from [8], Proposition 3.6.1).

Lemma 1. 1) Let D be an A -additive triangulated category. Then $D \otimes_A A_S$ is triangulated (its distinguished triangles are those isomorphic to images of distinguished triangles in D). If $F : D \rightarrow D'$ is an A -additive triangulated functor between A -additive triangulated categories then the induced functor $D \otimes_A A_S \rightarrow D' \otimes_A A_S$ is triangulated.

2) Assume, in addition, that D is equipped with a t -structure $(D^{\leq 0}, D^{\geq 0})$ such that any $K \in \text{Ob}(D)$ is of finite amplitude, i.e., $D = \cup_i D^{\leq i} = \cup_i D^{\geq i}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the core of this t -structure. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the full-subcategory of D consisting of K with $H^i(K) \otimes_A A_S = 0$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes_A A_S$ for all i . Then

- i) $\mathcal{S}$ is a thick subcategory of D ;
- ii) the localization of D in $\mathcal{S}$ is canonically equivalent to $D \otimes_A A_S$;
- iii) $D \otimes_A A_S$ has a t -structure $(D_S^{\leq 0}, D_S^{\geq 0})$, where $D_S^{\leq 0}$ (resp., $D_S^{\geq 0}$) is the essential image of those $K \in D$ that $H^i(K) \otimes_A A_S = 0$ for $i > 0$ (resp., for $i < 0$). The core of this t -structure is an abelian category equivalent to $\mathcal{A} \otimes_A A_S$. $\square$

Remark 1. We will use the following trivial observation. Let $c-A\text{-mod}$ denote the category of finite type A -modules. If A is noetherian then the natural functor $(c-A\text{-mod}) \otimes_A A_S \rightarrow c-A_S\text{-mod}$ is fully faithful.

The following statement is left to the reader (point 3) follows from [2], 4.3 and 4.5.1).

Lemma 2. Let Λ be a local noetherian ring with residue field κ_Λ .

- 1) If K is a perfect complex of Λ -modules then it can be represented as a direct sum $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ of two perfect complexes, where K_1 is acyclic, and the differential in $K_2 \otimes_\Lambda \kappa_\Lambda$ is zero.
- 2) If K_1 and K_2 are perfect complexes of Λ -modules such that the differential in $K_i \otimes_\Lambda \kappa_\Lambda$ is zero ($i = 1, 2$) and $f : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ is a homotopical equivalence then f is an isomorphism of complexes.

3) Let $D_{parf}(\Lambda)$ be the category whose objects are perfect complexes of Λ -modules, and the morphisms are morphisms of complexes of Λ -modules modulo homotopical equivalence. Let $D_{coh}^b(\Lambda)$ be the full subcategory of the bounded derived category of complexes of Λ -modules, consisting of complexes whose cohomologies are of finite type. If Λ is regular then the natural functor $D_{parf}(\Lambda) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\Lambda)$ is an equivalence of categories. $\square$

1.3 Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{E}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{E}$, κ be the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\bar{R}$ be a local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra with maximal ideal $\bar{m}$ and residue field κ . Assume that $\bar{R}$ is complete in the $\bar{m}$ -adic topology. Let $\text{Sh}(Y, \bar{R})$ denote the category of $\bar{m}$ -adic constructible $\bar{R}$ -sheaves (SGA5, V, 3.1.1). We say that $F \in \text{Sh}(Y, \bar{R})$ is *smooth of rank m* if for each $k > 0$ the sheaf $F \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}/\bar{m}^k$ is locally constant and its fibres are free $\bar{R}/\bar{m}^k$ -modules of rank m .

Assume, in addition, that $\bar{R}$ is regular. Denote by $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ the triangulated category defined in ([4], Theorem 6.3) (and denoted $D_c^b(Y - \bar{R})$ in *loc. cit.*). So, $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ is $\bar{R}$ -additive, has the natural t -structure, whose core is equivalent to $\text{Sh}(Y, \bar{R})$, and the perverse t -structure $p_{1/2}$ obtained by the gluing procedure ([1], 2.2.14). So, the usual definition of the perverse t -structure $p_{1/2}$ is applicable:

Definition 1. An object $K \in D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ lies in ${}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$ (resp., in ${}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$) if and only if for any irreducible closed subscheme $Y' \subset Y$ there is a nonempty open subscheme $U \subset Y'$ such that $H^j(i_U^* K) = 0$ for $j > -\dim U$ (resp., $H^j(i_U^! K) = 0$ for $j < -\dim U$), where $i_U : U \hookrightarrow Y$ is the inclusion.

We let $\text{Perv}(Y, \bar{R}) = {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R}) \cap {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$. Any object of $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ has a finite amplitude with respect to both the natural and the perverse t -structure $p_{1/2}$.

The perverse t -structure $p_{1/2}$ on $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ is not preserved by Verdier duality (unless $\bar{R} = \kappa$). This is already observed for $Y = \text{Spec } k$. We have an equivalence of triangulated categories that preserves natural t -structures as well as tensor products and internal Hom's ([4], 7.2)

$$D_c^b(\text{Spec } k, \bar{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{coh}^b(\bar{R}),$$

and $\mathbb{D}(D_{coh}^{\leq 0}(\bar{R})) \subset D_{coh}^{\geq 0}(\bar{R})$. However, the image of $D_{coh}^{\geq 0}(\bar{R})$ under the Verdier duality functor $\mathbb{D}$ is strictly bigger than $D_{coh}^{\leq 0}(\bar{R})$ (this image is described by [8], 6.3.2).

Lemma 3. If $K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$ then $\mathbb{D}K \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$.

Proof Let $Y' \subset Y$ be an irreducible closed subscheme. Chose a nonempty open subscheme $U \subset Y'$ such that $i_U^* K$ is placed in usual degrees $\leq -\dim U$ and all $H^j(i_U^* K)$ are locally constant (by this we mean that $H^j(i_U^* K) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}/\bar{m}^k$ are locally constant for all $k > 0$). Then $\mathbb{D}(i_U^* K)$ is placed in usual degrees $\geq -\dim U$. $\square$

We have a conservative triangulated functor of extension of scalars ([4], 6.3)

$$\kappa \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L : D_c^b(Y, \bar{R}) \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \kappa)$$

Lemma 4. 1) For $K \in D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ we have $K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$ if and only if $\kappa \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \kappa)$. Besides, if $\kappa \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L K \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \kappa)$ then $K \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$.

2) Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a morphism of k -schemes of finite type. If f is affine then f_* is right exact with respect to $p_{1/2}$. If f is an open immersion then f_* (resp., $f_!$) is left exact (resp., right exact) with respect to $p_{1/2}$ (the functors are from $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ to $D_c^b(Y', \bar{R})$).

Proof 1) By ([4], 6.3), the functors $i_U^*, i_U^!$ commute with $\kappa \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L$. To conclude, apply ([4], 3.1).

2) By (*loc.cit.*, 6.3), $f_*, f_!$ commute with $\kappa \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L$. It remains to use 1) and ([1], 4.1.1). $\square$

Definition 2. We say that $K \in D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ is a $\bar{R}$ -flat perverse $\bar{R}$ -sheaf on Y if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- i) $K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$ and $\mathbb{D}K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$;
- ii) $K \in \text{Perv}(Y, \bar{R})$ and $\mathbb{D}K \in \text{Perv}(Y, \bar{R})$;
- iii) $\kappa \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L K \in \text{Perv}(Y, \kappa)$

We denote by $\text{Perv}_{fl}(Y, \bar{R})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Perv}(Y, \bar{R})$ consisting of $\bar{R}$ -flat perverse $\bar{R}$ -sheaves on Y .

Note that the Verdier duality functor preserves $\bar{R}$ -flat perverse $\bar{R}$ -sheaves and induces an autoequivalence of $\text{Perv}_{fl}(Y, \bar{R})$.

1.4 Given a local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras $\sigma : \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, denote by $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$ the kernel of the induced map $\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, and by $\bar{R}_\sigma$ the localization of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$ in $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$. By Lemma 1, we have a triangulated category $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R}) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}_\sigma$, which will also be denoted $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$. It is equipped with the natural and perverse t -structures induced by those of $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$. So, by definition, ${}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ (resp., ${}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$) is the essential image of ${}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$ (resp., of ${}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})$).

The core of the perverse t -structure on $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ will be denoted $\text{Perv}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$. The category $\text{Perv}(Y, \mathcal{O})_\sigma$ will also be denoted by $\text{Perv}(Y, \mathbb{E})$. We also write $\text{Sh}(Y, \mathbb{E})$ for $\text{Sh}(Y, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$.

By 1) of Lemma 1, the functors defined for $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})$ in [4] extend trivially to $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$. So, for a separated morphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ of schemes of finite type over k we have functors

$$f_!, f_* : D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(Y', \bar{R})_\sigma$$

and

$$f^!, f^* : D_c^b(Y', \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$$

functorial in f . We also have triangulated functors

$$(-) \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L (-) : D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma \times D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$$

and

$$R\mathcal{H}om(-, -) : D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma^{op} \times D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$$

The next lemma claims that the perverse t -structure on $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ defined by Lemma 1 is, in fact, obtained by a gluing procedure as in ([1], 2.2).

Lemma 5. *$K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ (resp., $K \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$) if and only if for any irreducible closed subscheme $Y' \subset Y$ there is a nonempty open subscheme $U \subset Y'$ such that $H^j(i_U^* K) = 0$ for $j > -\dim U$ (resp., $H^j(i_U^! K) = 0$ for $j < -\dim U$), where $i_U : U \hookrightarrow Y$ is the inclusion, and the cohomologies are calculated with respect to the usual t -structure on $D_c^b(U, \bar{R})_\sigma$.*

Proof The only if part is trivial. The if part follows from ([1], 1.4.12). $\square$

From Lemma 3 and 2) of Lemma 4 we immediately get the next corolary.

Corolary 1. *1) If $K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ then $\mathbb{D}K \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$.*

2) Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a morphism of k -schemes of finite type. If f is affine then f_ is right exact with respect to $p_{1/2}$. If f is an open immersion then f_* (resp., $f_!$) is left exact (resp., right exact) with respect to $p_{1/2}$ (the functors are from $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ to $D_c^b(Y', \bar{R})_\sigma$). $\square$*

Let $\bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}'$ be a local homomorphism of complete local noetherian regular $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras with residue fields κ . It induces a conservative triangulated functor of extension of scalars ([8], A.1)

$$\bar{R}' \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L : D_c^b(Y, \bar{R}) \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \bar{R}') \quad (3)$$

By (*loc.cit.*, A.1.5), the functors $f_!$, f_* , f^* commute with (3)².

Assume that σ is the restriction to $\bar{R}$ of a local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras $\sigma' : \bar{R}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. Then $\bar{R}' \otimes_{\bar{R}}^L$ factors to give a triangulated functor

$$D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \bar{R}')_{\sigma'} \quad (4)$$

that we denote by $\bar{R}'_{\sigma'} \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L$. The map σ itself yields the functor of extension of scalars

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L : D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \mathbb{E}),$$

where, by definition, $D_c^b(Y, \mathbb{E})$ is the triangulated category $D_c^b(Y, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$ ([1], 2.2.18).

Lemma 6. *i) The functor $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L : D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \mathbb{E})$ is triangulated and conservative.*

ii) If for an object M of $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ we have $H^r(\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L M) = 0$ for some r , then $H^r(M) = 0$, where the cohomologies are calculated with respect to the usual t -structures.

²The author does not know if $f^!$ and $\mathbb{D}$ commute with (3).

Proof i) We must show that for an object M of $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ the property $\mathbb{E} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}_\sigma} M = 0$ implies $M = 0$. This follows from ii).

ii) Since for any closed point $i : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow Y$ the functors $\mathcal{O} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}}$ and i^* commute, the assertion is fibrewise on Y , and we may assume $Y = \text{Spec } k$. Then we have an equivalence $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{coh}^b(\bar{R})$ ([4], 7.2). We have a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{coh}^b(\bar{R}) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}_\sigma & \rightarrow & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_{coh}^b(\bar{R}_\sigma) & \rightarrow & D_{coh}^b(\mathbb{E}), \end{array}$$

where the low horizontal arrow is the functor $\mathbb{E} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}_\sigma}$ in the literal sense. By our assumption, the image of $\mathbb{E} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}_\sigma} M$ under $D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathbb{E})$ has no cohomology in degree r .

Sublemma 1. *Let K be an object of $D_{coh}^b(\bar{R}_\sigma)$ such that $H^r(\mathbb{E} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}_\sigma} K) = 0$ for some r then $H^r(K) = 0$ (here $\mathbb{E} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}_\sigma} K$ is understood in the literal sense).*

Proof Represent K by a bounded complex K_0 of free $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -modules of finite type. Then $K_0 = K_1 \oplus K_2$, where K_1 is acyclic and the differential in K_2 is zero modulo the maximal ideal of $\bar{R}_\sigma$. Our assertion follows now from lemma of Nakayama. $\square$

By Sublemma 1, the image of M in $D_{coh}^b(\bar{R}_\sigma)$ has no cohomology in degree r . Represent M by an object M_0 of $D_{coh}^b(\bar{R})$. Since $\bar{R}_\sigma$ is flat over $\bar{R}$, we have $H^r(M_0 \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^r(M_0) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}_\sigma$ in the category of $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -modules. So, $H^r(M_0) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}_\sigma = 0$ as $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -module. Since $H^r(M_0)$ is a $\bar{R}$ -module of finite type, the image of $H^r(M_0)$ in $(\bar{R} - \text{mod}) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}_\sigma$ vanishes by Remark 1. $\square$

The perverse t -structure on $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ is not preserved by Verdier duality (unless $\bar{R}$ is of dimension 1). As in Sect. 1.3, we give the next definition.

Definition 3. Let $\text{Perv}_{fl}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ be the full subcategory of $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ consisting of objects satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions:

- i) $K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ and $\mathbb{D}K \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$;
- ii) $K \in \text{Perv}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ and $\mathbb{D}K \in \text{Perv}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$

The Verdier duality functor induces an autoequivalence of $\text{Perv}_{fl}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$.

Define the category of *smooth $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -sheaves of rank m on Y* as the full subcategory of $D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ consisting of K such that $\mathbb{E} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R}_\sigma} K$ is a smooth $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaf of rank m on Y placed in usual cohomological degree zero. The next lemma will be used in the proof of Proposition 2.

Lemma 7. *1) Assume that Y is connexe, and $y \rightarrow Y$ is a geometric point. Then the functor that sends K to K_y is an equivalence between the category of smooth $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -sheaves of rank m on Y*

and the category of paires (M, ρ) , where M is a free $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -module of rank m , $\rho : \pi_1(Y, y) \rightarrow \text{Aut } M$ is a homomorphism such that there exists a finite type $\bar{R}$ -submodule $M' \subset M$ generating M , invariant under $\pi_1(Y, y)$, and such that the homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(Y, y) \rightarrow \text{Aut } M'$ is continuous (note that we do not require M' to be free over $\bar{R}$).

2) Let $U \subset Y$ be an open subscheme and $K \in D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$. If both $K \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L \mathbb{E}$ and $(\mathbb{D}K) \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L \mathbb{E}$ are perverse and the Goresky-MacPherson extensions from U to Y then $K \in \text{Perv}_{fl}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ is the Goresky-MacPherson extension from U to Y .

Proof 1) Argue as in SGA5, VI, 1.2.

2) For $M \in D_c^b(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$ the condition $M \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L \mathbb{E} \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \mathbb{E})$ implies $M \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$. So, our assumption implies $K \in \text{Perv}_{fl}(Y, \bar{R})_\sigma$. Let $i : S \rightarrow Y$ be a closed subscheme whose complement is U . The complexes $i^*(K \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L \mathbb{E})$ and $i^*((\mathbb{D}K) \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L \mathbb{E})$ lie in ${}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(S, \mathbb{E})$. So, i^*K and $\mathbb{D}i^!K$ lie in ${}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(S, \bar{R})_\sigma$. By Corolary 1, $i^!K \in {}^p D_c^{> 0}(S, \bar{R})_\sigma$. $\square$

1.5 Laumon's sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d$

Let $\bar{R}$ be as in Sect. 1.3. Assume that $\bar{R}$ is of characteristic zero. Let $\bar{E}$ be a smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf on X . Denote by $\text{sym} : X^d \rightarrow X^{(d)}$ the natural map. Consider the smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\bar{E}^{\boxtimes d}$ on X^d (the tensoring is taken over $\bar{R}$). Set

$$\bar{E}^{(d)} = (\text{sym}_!(\bar{E}^{\boxtimes d}))^{S_d}$$

This is a direct summand of the constructable $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\text{sym}_!(\bar{E}^{\boxtimes d})$ on $X^{(d)}$. Since $\text{sym}_!(\bar{E}^{\boxtimes d})[d]$ is a $\bar{R}$ -flat perverse $\bar{R}$ -sheaf, which is the Goresky-MacPherson extension of its restriction to any nonempty open subscheme, the same holds for $\bar{E}^{(d)}[d]$.

Following [9], we associate to $\bar{E}$ a perverse $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d$ on Sh_0^d that we call Laumon's sheaf. Denote by $\mathcal{F}^{1, \dots, 1}$ (1 occurs d times) the stack of complete flags $(F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_d)$, where F_i is a coherent torsion sheaf on X of length i . The morphism $\mathfrak{p}_0 : \mathcal{F}^{1, \dots, 1} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_0^d$ that sends $(F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_d)$ to F_d is representable and proper. The morphism $\mathfrak{q}_0 : \mathcal{F}^{1, \dots, 1} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_0^1 \times \dots \times \text{Sh}_0^1$ that sends $(F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_d)$ to $(F_1, F_2/F_1, \dots, F_d/F_{d-1})$ is a generalized affine fibration. This, in particular, implies that $\mathcal{F}^{1, \dots, 1}$ is smooth.

Springer's sheaf $\mathcal{Spr}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{Spr}_{\bar{E}}^d = (\mathfrak{p}_0)!(\mathfrak{q}_0)^*(\text{div}^{\times d})^*(\bar{E}^{\boxtimes d})$$

Laumon's theorem claims that $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is *small*. It follows that $\mathcal{Spr}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is a $\bar{R}$ -flat perverse $\bar{R}$ -sheaf, which is the Goresky-MacPherson extension of its restriction to any nonempty open substack. It also carries a natural action of the symmetric group S_d ([9], 3.3.1). Set

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d = (\mathcal{Spr}_{\bar{E}}^d)^{S_d},$$

where the invariants are taken in $\text{Perv}(\text{Sh}_0^d, \bar{R})$. As a direct summand of $\mathcal{Spr}_{\bar{E}}^d$, the perverse $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is $\bar{R}$ -flat and coincides with the Goresky-MacPherson extension of its restriction to any nonempty open substack. Besides, the formation of $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d$ commutes with extension of scalars (3), and the Verdier dual of $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}^*}^d$.

2 Automorphic sheaves $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}$

2.1 Let $\bar{R}, \bar{E}$ be as in Sect. 1.5. Assume that $\mathbb{E}$ contains the group of p -th roots of unity, so that we can fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$. Then the Artin-Schreier sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ associated to ψ can be viewed as a smooth $\mathcal{O}$ -sheaf (or smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf) of rank 1 on $\mathbb{A}^1$.

Let $n > 0, d \geq 0$. In Sect 2.1 and 4.1 of [14] we introduced the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^{\leq n}\text{Sh}_0^d & \xleftarrow{\beta} & {}_n\mathcal{Q}_d & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbb{A}^1 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi & \searrow \zeta & \\ & & {}_n\mathcal{Y}_d & \rightarrow & {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \end{array}$$

Definition 4. We associate to $\bar{E}$ an object ${}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d \in \text{Perv}_{fl}({}_n\mathcal{Q}_d, \bar{R})$ given by

$${}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d = \beta^* \mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d \otimes_{\bar{R}} \mu^* \mathcal{L}_\psi[b]\left(\frac{b}{2}\right),$$

where $b = \dim {}_n\mathcal{Q}_d$. We also define ${}_n\mathcal{P}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d \in D_c^b({}_n\mathcal{Y}_d, \bar{R})$ and ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d \in D_c^b({}_n\mathcal{M}_d, \bar{R})$ by ${}_n\mathcal{P}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d = \varphi_!({}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d)$ and ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d = \zeta_!({}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d)$.

The formation of all these complexes commutes with extension of scalars (3). The complex ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$ does not depend on ψ in the following sense.

Lemma 8. *For any two nontrivial additive characters $\psi, \psi' : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$ there is a canonical isomorphism $\zeta_!({}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \zeta_!({}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi'}^d)$ in $D_c^b({}_n\mathcal{M}_d, \bar{R})$.*

Proof There is a unique $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that $\psi'(x) = \psi(ax)$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$. So, $\mathcal{L}_{\psi'} \xrightarrow{\sim} a^* \mathcal{L}_\psi$, where $a : \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^1$ denotes the multiplication by a . Let α denote the automorphism of ${}_n\mathcal{Q}_d$ that multiplies $s_i : \Omega^{n-i} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_i/L_{i-1}$ by a^{i-1} for $i = 2, \dots, n$, where $(L_1 \subset \dots \subset L_n \subset L, (s_i))$ is a point of ${}_n\mathcal{Q}_d$. Then $\alpha^*({}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi'}^d$, and our assertion follows. $\square$

2.2 Fix $\sigma : \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ as in Sect. 1.4. Let E_0 be the image of $\bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} \mathcal{O}$ in $\text{Sh}(X, \mathbb{E})$. It was shown in ([7], 7.3 and 7.5) that ${}_n\mathcal{P}_{E_0,\psi}^d$ (resp., ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{E_0}^d$) satisfies Hecke property with respect to E_0 . Let us show that this Hecke property still holds in the corresponding categories $D_c^b(\cdot, \bar{R})_\sigma$.

As for ${}_n\mathcal{P}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d$, (Proposition 5, Corolary 2 and Lemma 12, [14]) hold with E_0 replaced by $\bar{E}$, if the maps and isomorphisms are understood as such in $D_c^b(\cdot, \bar{R})_\sigma$. Indeed, one constructs the morphisms in the same way and checks that they are isomorphisms applying the conservative functor $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\bar{R},\sigma}^L \cdot$.

The Hecke property of ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is formulated as follows. Let ${}_n\text{Mod}_d$ denote the stack classifying modifications $(L \subset L')$ of rank n vector bundles on X with $\deg(L'/L) = d$. Let $\text{supp} : {}_n\text{Mod}_d \rightarrow X^{(d)}$ be the map that sends $(L \subset L')$ to $\text{div}(L'/L)$. For $d' \geq 0$ denote by

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}} : {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_{d'} \rightarrow {}_n\mathcal{M}_{d+d'}$$

the map that sends $(\Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow L')$ to the composition $(\Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L')$. It is representable and proper. Let also $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{M}} : {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_{d'} \rightarrow {}_n\mathcal{M}_d$ denote the projection.

Proposition 1. *For any smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\bar{E}$ on X and any $d \geq 0$ there is a natural morphism*

$$(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \text{supp})! \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^{d+1}) \rightarrow n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d \boxtimes \bar{E}(\frac{2-n}{2})[2-n] \quad (5)$$

in $D_c^b(n\mathcal{M}_d \times X, \bar{R})_\sigma$, which is an isomorphism if $\text{rk } \bar{E} \leq n$.

Let $n\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_d$ be the stack of flags $(L_0 \subset \dots \subset L_d)$, where $(L_i \subset L_{i+1}) \in n\text{Mod}_1$ for all i . Let $\widetilde{\text{supp}} : n\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_d \rightarrow X^d$ be the map that sends $(L_0 \subset \dots \subset L_d)$ to $(\text{div}(L_1/L_0), \dots, \text{div}(L_d/L_{d-1}))$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{\mathcal{M}} : n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} n\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{d'} \rightarrow n\mathcal{M}_{d+d'}$ denote the composition

$$n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} n\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{d'} \rightarrow n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} n\text{Mod}_{d'} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}} n\mathcal{M}_{d+d'},$$

where the first map is the projection. We also have the map $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \widetilde{\text{supp}} : n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} n\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{d'} \rightarrow n\mathcal{M}_d \times X^{d'}$.

Corollary 2. *For any smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\bar{E}$ on X and any $d, d' \geq 0$ there is a natural morphism*

$$(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \widetilde{\text{supp}})! \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^{d+d'}) \rightarrow n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d \boxtimes \bar{E}^{\boxtimes d'}(\frac{2d' - nd'}{2})[2d' - nd'] \quad (6)$$

in $D_c^b(n\mathcal{M}_d \times X^{d'}, \bar{R})_\sigma$, which is an isomorphism if $\text{rk } \bar{E} \leq n$. $\square$

As in ([14], Sect 6.6) we write ${}^{rss}X^{d'}$ for the open subscheme of $X^{d'}$ that parametrizes pairwise different points $(x_1, \dots, x_{d'}) \in X^{d'}$ ('rss' stands for 'regular semisimple'). We also denote by $n{}^{rss}\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{d'}$ the preimage of ${}^{rss}X^{d'}$ under $\widetilde{\text{supp}}$. The symmetric group $S_{d'}$ acts on

$$n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} n{}^{rss}\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{d'},$$

and this action lifts naturally to an action on $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^{d+d'})$. Since the restriction $n{}^{rss}\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{d'} \rightarrow {}^{rss}X^{d'}$ of $\widetilde{\text{supp}}$ is $S_{d'}$ -equivariant, $S_{d'}$ acts on the complex

$$(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \widetilde{\text{supp}})! \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^{d+d'})$$

restricted to $n\mathcal{M}_d \times {}^{rss}X^{d'}$. On the other hand, $S_{d'}$ acts on $\bar{E}^{\boxtimes d'}$ and, hence, on the right hand side of (6). Using the explicit description of the map (6) (cf. Sect. 2.3) one easily obtains the next result.

Lemma 9. *The map (6) restricted to $n\mathcal{M}_d \times {}^{rss}X^{d'}$ is $S_{d'}$ -equivariant. $\square$*

2.3 In this subsection we prove Proposition 1.

Denote by $n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1$ the stack of collections $((t_i), L, x \in X)$, where L is a rank n vector bundle on X with $\deg L = d + \deg(\Omega^{(n-1)+\dots+(n-n)})$ and

$$t_i : \Omega^{(n-1)+\dots+(n-i)} \hookrightarrow (\wedge^i L)(x)$$

are sections satisfying Plücker's relations as in ([14], Sect. 4.1). We have a closed immersion ${}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times X \hookrightarrow {}_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1$ given by the condition: every t_i factors as $\Omega^{(n-1)+\dots+(n-i)} \hookrightarrow \wedge^i L \subset (\wedge^i L)(x)$.

If $(L \subset L') \in {}_n\text{Mod}_1$ with $x = \text{div}(L'/L)$ then $(\wedge^i L')(-x) \subset \wedge^i L \subset \wedge^i L'$ for all i . This allows to define a map

$$\tilde{q}_{\mathcal{Y}} : {}_n\mathcal{Y}_{d+1} \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_1 \rightarrow {}_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1$$

that sends $((t'_i), L') \in {}_n\mathcal{Y}_{d+1}, L \subset L'$ to $((t_i), L, x)$, where $x = \text{div}(L'/L)$ and t_i is the composition

$$t_i : \Omega^{(n-1)+\dots+(n-i)} \xrightarrow{t'_i} \wedge^i L' \subset (\wedge^i L)(x)$$

The map $\tilde{q}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is representable and proper.

Definition 5. For any smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\bar{E}$ on X set ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d,1} = (\tilde{q}_{\mathcal{Y}})_!({}_n\mathcal{P}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d+1} \boxtimes \bar{R})(\frac{n}{2})[n]$.

Remark 2. i) It may be shown that ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d,1}$ lies in $\text{Perv}({}_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1, \bar{R})_{\sigma}$ and coincides with the Goresky-MacPherson extension of its restriction to any nonempty open substack. Besides, the Verdier dual to ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d,1}$ is canonically isomorphic to ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E}^*,\psi^{-1}}^{d,1}$. We will not need these facts.

ii) The following square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_1 & \hookrightarrow & {}_n\mathcal{Y}_{d+1} \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_1 \\ \downarrow q_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{supp} & & \downarrow \tilde{q}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ {}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times X & \hookrightarrow & {}_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1 \end{array}$$

So, the restriction of ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d,1}$ to ${}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times X$ is described by ([14], Prop. 5).

The only property of ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d,1}$ we need is the following. Let $'_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1 \hookrightarrow {}_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1$ be the closed substack given by: t_1 factors as $\Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow L(x)$. So, ${}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times X \hookrightarrow '_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1$ is a closed substack. Proposition 1 will follow from the next observation.

Lemma 10. For any smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf $\bar{E}$ on X the restriction of ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d,1}$ to $'_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1$ vanishes outside ${}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times X$.

Proof In the case $\bar{R} = \mathcal{O}$ this follows from ([7], 7.5) (the assumption $\text{rk } E = n$ required in *loc.cit.* is, in fact, not used for this particular statement). Applying the conservative functor $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}\sigma}^L$, one reduces the general case to $\bar{R} = \mathcal{O}$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1 Define the closed substack $\mathcal{T}$ of ${}_n\mathcal{Y}_{d+1} \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_1$ from the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \hookrightarrow & {}_n\mathcal{Y}_{d+1} \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{q}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ '_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1 & \hookrightarrow & {}_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1 \end{array}$$

Then we have a commutative diagram, where the right square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} {}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times X & \hookrightarrow & '_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1 & \leftarrow & \mathcal{T} & \rightarrow & {}_n\mathcal{Y}_{d+1} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \xi_{\mathcal{Y}} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times X & \xleftarrow{q_{\mathcal{M}} \times \text{supp}} & {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} {}_n\text{Mod}_1 & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{M}}} & {}_n\mathcal{M}_{d+1} \end{array}$$

We have denoted here by $\xi_{\mathcal{Y}}$ the natural forgetful map. Denote for brevity by $\mathcal{F}$ the restriction of ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\bar{E},\psi}^{d,1}$ to ${}_n\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_d^1$. By the base change theorem,

$$(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \text{supp})! \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}^*({}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^{d+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\xi_{\mathcal{Y}})! \mathcal{F}[-n](-\frac{n}{2})$$

By Lemma 10, $\mathcal{F}$ is the extension by zero from ${}_n\mathcal{Y}_d \times X$. By ([14], Prop. 5), we get a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow {}_n\mathcal{P}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d \boxtimes \bar{E}(1)[2]$, which is an isomorphism when $\text{rk } \bar{E} \leq n$. Our assertion follows. $\square$

2.4 Recall the definition of a Hecke-eigsheaf ([7], 1.1). Consider the correspondence

$$\text{Bun}_n \times X \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{q} \times \text{supp}} {}_n\text{Mod}_1 \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} \text{Bun}_n, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ sends $(L \subset L') \in {}_n\text{Mod}_1$ to L' , $\mathfrak{q}$ sends $(L \subset L')$ to L , and $\text{supp} : {}_n\text{Mod}_1 \rightarrow X$ is the map defined in Sect. 2.2.

The *Hecke functor* $H_n^1 : D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n, \bar{R})_{\sigma} \rightarrow D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n \times X, \bar{R})_{\sigma}$ is defined by

$$H_n^1(K) = (\mathfrak{q} \times \text{supp})! \mathfrak{p}^*(K) \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right) [n-1] \quad (8)$$

Consider the d -th iteration of H_n^1 :

$$(H_n^1)^{\boxtimes d} : D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n, \bar{R})_{\sigma} \rightarrow D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n \times X^d, \bar{R})_{\sigma}$$

For any $K \in D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n, \bar{R})_{\sigma}$ the restriction of $(H_n^1)^{\boxtimes d}(K)$ to $\text{Bun}_n \times {}^{rss}X^d$ is naturally equivariant with respect to the action of the symmetric group S_d on ${}^{rss}X^d$.

Definition 6. Let $\bar{E}$ be a smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf of rank n on X . A *Hecke eigensheaf* with respect to $\bar{E}$ is a nonzero object $K \in D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n, \bar{R})_{\sigma}$ equipped with an isomorphism $H_n^1(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} K \boxtimes \bar{E}$ such that the resulting map

$$(H_n^1)^{\boxtimes d}(K) \big|_{\text{Bun}_n \times {}^{rss}X^d} \xrightarrow{\sim} K \boxtimes \bar{E}^{\boxtimes d} \big|_{\text{Bun}_n \times {}^{rss}X^d}$$

is S_d -equivariant.

Following [7], pick a line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{est}$ on X such that for any vector bundle M on X of rank $k < n$, $\text{Hom}(M, \mathcal{L}^{est}) = 0$ implies that

- a) $\deg(M) > nk(2g-2)$,
- b) $\text{Ext}^1(\Omega^{k-1}, M) = 0$.

For example, $\mathcal{L}^{est}$ of degree $> 2n(2g-2)$ satisfies this property. Let us denote by ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{est} \subset {}_n\mathcal{M}_d$ the open substack consisting of $(\Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L) \in {}_n\mathcal{M}_d$ such that $\text{Hom}(L/\Omega^{n-1}, \mathcal{L}^{est}) = 0$. Set ${}_n\mathcal{M} = \cup_{d \geq 0} {}_n\mathcal{M}_d$. Denote by $\varrho : {}_n\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n$ the natural projection.

Notational Convention. For notational convenience, in what follows by *degree* of a coherent sheaf on X of generic rank n we will understand its usual degree $-n(n-1)(g-1)$, so that

$\mathcal{O} \oplus \Omega \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega^{n-1}$ is of degree zero. We write Bun_n^d for the connected component of Bun_n classifying vector bundles of rank n and degree d on X .

Recall that $\bar{R}$ is a local complete noetherian regular $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra with residue field κ , and $\sigma : \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras (in particular, $\bar{R}$ is of characteristic zero). The geometric Langlands conjecture (relative to $\bar{R}$) may be formulated as follows (cf. [9, 5, 7]).

Conjecture 1. *Let $\bar{E}$ be a smooth $\bar{R}$ -sheaf on X of rank n such that $E_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is irreducible. Then for any $d \geq 0$ the natural map $\zeta_!(n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d) \rightarrow \zeta_*(n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d)$ is an isomorphism over ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$ in $D_c^b({}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}, \bar{R})_\sigma$, and the restriction of ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$ to ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$ lies in $\text{Perv}_{fl}({}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}, \bar{R})_\sigma$. Moreover, there exist the following data:*

- a perverse sheaf $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}} \in \text{Perv}_{fl}(\text{Bun}_n, \bar{R})_\sigma$ equipped with the structure of a Hecke-eigensheaf with respect to $\bar{E}$;
- for each $d \geq 0$ an isomorphism in $D_c^b({}_n\mathcal{M}_d, \bar{R})_\sigma$ between ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$ and the inverse image

$$\varrho^* \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^d[d - n^2(g-1)](\frac{d - n^2(g-1)}{2})$$

under $\varrho : {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n^d$ (we write $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^d$ for the restriction of $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}$ to Bun_n^d).

These data satisfy the following properties:

- i) the Hecke properties of $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}$ and of ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}$ are compatible.
- ii) $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is the Goresky-MacPherson extension from any nonempty open substack of Bun_n^d .
- iii) $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}$ is cuspidal in the sense that for any nontrivial partition $\bar{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ of n determining the correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Flag}_{\bar{n}} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{n}}} & \text{Bun}_n \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{\bar{n}} & & \\ \text{Bun}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \text{Bun}_{n_k}, & & \end{array}$$

we have $(\mathfrak{q}_{\bar{n}})_!(\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{n}})^* \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}} = 0$. Here $\text{Flag}_{\bar{n}}$ is the stack of flags $(M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k)$, where $M_i/M_{i-1} \in \text{Bun}_{n_i}$. The map $\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{n}}$ sends this flag to M_k , and $\mathfrak{q}_{\bar{n}}$ sends this flag to $(M_1, M_2/M_1, \dots, M_k/M_{k-1})$.

Remarks . 1) The property i) means the following. For any $d \geq 0$ we have a commutative diagram, where the left square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times X & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \text{supp}} & {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times \text{Bun}_n \times {}_n\text{Mod}_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}} & {}_n\mathcal{M}_{d+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Bun}_n \times X & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{q} \times \text{supp}} & {}_n\text{Mod}_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} & \text{Bun}_n \end{array}$$

It is required that the restriction of the isomorphism $H_n^1(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}} \boxtimes \bar{E}$ under the left vertical arrow in the above diagram coincides with the isomorphism (5) (up to a cohomological shift and a Tate twist).

2) From main result of [7] it follows that if certain vanishing conjecture (Conjecture 2.3, *loc.cit.*) is true then Conjecture 1 is true for $\bar{R} = \mathcal{O}$. Indeed, the first assertion follows from (3.6, *loc.cit.*) combined with the properties of the Fourier transform ([10], 1.3.1.1, 1.3.2.3).

3) The perverse sheaf $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}$ in Conjecture 1 is defined up to a canonical isomorphism.

2.5 The quotient of Bun_n by a 2-action of $\mathbb{G}_m$

Consider the k -prestack whose category fibre at a scheme S is the following groupoid. Its objects are vector bundles L on $S \times X$ of rank n . A morphism from L_1 to L_2 is an equivalence class $\in \{(\mathcal{A}, u)\} / \sim$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an invertible sheaf on S , $u : L_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} L_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{S \times X}$ -modules, and the pairs $(\mathcal{A}, u)$ and $(\mathcal{A}', u')$ are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}'$ making commute the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_1 & \xrightarrow{u} & L_2 \otimes \mathcal{A} \\ & \searrow u' \downarrow \wr & \\ & & L_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}' \end{array}$$

We define $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ as the stack associated to this prestack.³ Then the natural morphism $\mathfrak{r} : \text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerb.

Lemma 11. *$\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ is an algebraic stack locally of finite type and smooth of pure dimension $n^2(g-1)+1$.*

Proof Let S be a noetherian scheme, L_1 and L_2 be vector bundles on $S \times X$ of rank n . Consider the morphism $\underline{\text{Isom}}(L_1, L_2) \rightarrow S$ obtained by the base change $S \xrightarrow{(L_1, L_2)} \text{Bun}_n \times \text{Bun}_n$ from the diagonal mapping $\text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n \times \text{Bun}_n$. Recall that $\underline{\text{Isom}}(L_1, L_2)$ is an open subscheme of some affine S -scheme $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module, and $\underline{\text{Isom}}(L_1, L_2)$ is of finite type over S ([12], the proof of 4.6.2.1). We have a free action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\underline{\text{Isom}}(L_1, L_2)$, and the square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_n \times_{\underline{\text{Bun}}_n} \text{Bun}_n & \rightarrow & \text{Bun}_n \times \text{Bun}_n \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow (L_1, L_2) \\ \underline{\text{Isom}}(L_1, L_2)/\mathbb{G}_m & \rightarrow & S \end{array}$$

It follows that $\underline{\text{Isom}}(L_1, L_2)/\mathbb{G}_m$ is an open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$, in particular it is separated over S . So, the diagonal mapping $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n \times \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ is representable, separated and quasi-compact.

If $Y \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n$ is a presentation of Bun_n then the composition $Y \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ is a presentation of $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$. $\square$

³Scalar automorphisms of vector bundles provide a 2-action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on Bun_n , that is, an action by 2-automorphisms of stacks. The quotient of a 1-stack under a 2-action is, in general, a 2-stack. In our particular case this 2-stack is representable by the 1-stack $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$.

The connected components of $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ are numbered by $d \in \mathbb{Z}$: the component $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d$ is the image of Bun_n^d under $\tau : \text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$. The morphism $\tau : \text{Bun}_1^d \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_1^d$ is, in fact, the canonical map $\text{Pic}^d X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}^d X$, where $\underline{\text{Pic}}^d X$ is the Picard scheme of X .

Let $\text{mult} : \text{Bun}_n \times X \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n$ be the map that sends (L, x) to $L(x)$. There is a unique map $\underline{\text{mult}} : \underline{\text{Bun}}_n \times X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ making commute the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_n \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} & \text{Bun}_n \\ \downarrow \tau \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \tau \\ \underline{\text{Bun}}_n \times X & \xrightarrow{\underline{\text{mult}}} & \underline{\text{Bun}}_n \end{array} \quad (9)$$

The composition ${}_1\mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \text{Bun}_1^d \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_1^d$ is, in fact, the Abel-Jacobi map $X^{(d)} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}^d X$ sending a divisor D to the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{O}(D)$. More generally, the composition ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n^d \xrightarrow{\tau} \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d$ is representable. Given a scheme S and an S -point $S \xrightarrow{L} \text{Bun}_n^d$, consider the scheme $Z_S = {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\text{Bun}_n} S$. This is an S -scheme that classifies nonzero sections $s : \Omega^{n-1} \hookrightarrow L$. The group $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts freely on Z_S (over S), multiplying s by a scalar. One checks that ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d \times_{\underline{\text{Bun}}_n} S$ is identified with the quotient $Z_S/\mathbb{G}_m$. In particular, for $S = \text{Spec } k$ the scheme Z_S is the projective space $(\text{Hom}(\Omega^{n-1}, L) - \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m$.

Now we are able to prove the following result.

Proposition 2. 1) If Conjecture 1 is true then $\mathbb{D}(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}^*}$ canonically.
2) If Conjecture 1 is true for $\bar{R} = \mathcal{O}$ then it is true in full generality. Moreover, there exists a perverse sheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}} \in \text{Perv}_{fl}(\underline{\text{Bun}}_n, \bar{R})_\sigma$ together with an isomorphism

$$\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}[-1](-\frac{1}{2}) \quad (10)$$

By this condition $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}$ is defined up to a canonical isomorphism. The formation of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}$ commutes with extension of scalars (4). We also have canonically

$$\underline{\text{mult}}^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}} \boxtimes \wedge^n \bar{E} \quad (11)$$

Proof 1) Let $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}} \subset \text{Bun}_n$ be the open substack consisting of L such that $\deg L > n^2(g-1)$ and $\text{Hom}(L, \mathcal{L}^{\text{est}}) = 0$. Let ${}_n\mathcal{M}^{\text{est}} = \cup_{d \geq 0} {}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$. By Conjecture 1, over ${}_n\mathcal{M}^{\text{est}}$ there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}({}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}^*} \quad (12)$$

Over $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}} \cap \text{Bun}_n^d$ the map $\varrho : {}_n\mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n^d$ is a vector bundle of rank $d - n^2(g-1)$ with removed zero section. Since the preimage of $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}} \cap \text{Bun}_n^d$ under this map is contained in ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$, the isomorphism (12) descends to give an isomorphism over $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$

$$\mathbb{D}(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}^*} \quad (13)$$

By ([7], 1.5), Hecke property of $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}$ yields an isomorphism

$$\text{mult}^* \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}} \boxtimes \wedge^n \bar{E} \quad (14)$$

For any open substack of finite type $U \subset \text{Bun}_n$ there exists an integer d' such that for any $x \in X$ the morphism $\text{mult}_{d'x} : \text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n$ sending L to $L(d'x)$ maps U isomorphically onto a substack of $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}})|_U &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}((\wedge^n \bar{E}_x)^{\otimes -d'} \otimes \text{mult}_{d'x}^* \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ &(\wedge^n \bar{E}_x^*)^{\otimes -d'} \otimes \text{mult}_{d'x}^* (\mathbb{D} \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\wedge^n \bar{E}_x^*)^{\otimes -d'} \otimes \text{mult}_{d'x}^* \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}^*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}^*}|_U \end{aligned}$$

According to (14), this gives a well-defined isomorphism (13) over the entire Bun_n , which coincides with the old one over $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$.

2) **Step 1.** Applying the conservative functor $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L$, one checks that $\zeta_!(n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d) \rightarrow \zeta_*(n\mathcal{F}_{\bar{E},\psi}^d)$ is an isomorphism over ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$. This yields the isomorphism (12) over ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$.

Since both ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L \mathbb{E}$ and $(\mathbb{D} {}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d) \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L \mathbb{E}$ restricted to ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$ are irreducible perverse sheaves, by 2) of Lemma 7, the restriction of ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$ to ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$ is an object of $\text{Perv}_{fl}({}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}, \bar{R})_\sigma$, which is the Goresky-MacPherson extension from any nonempty open substack of ${}_n\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{est}}$.

Step 2. As was explained in ([7], 7.6), we have a notion of a Hecke eigensheaf on $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$. Indeed, for the diagram (7) we have

$$(\mathfrak{q} \times \text{supp})^{-1}(\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}} \times X) \subset \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}})$$

Define the functor $D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}, \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow D_c^b(\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}} \times X, \bar{R})_\sigma$ by formula (8) and denote it again by H_n^1 . Considering its iterations $(H_n^1)^{\boxtimes d}$, one can repeat Definition 6 in this context.

Let $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{\text{est}}$ be the image of $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$ under $\mathfrak{r} : \text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$.

Lemma 12. 1) *There exists a perverse sheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}} \in \text{Perv}_{fl}(\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{\text{est}}, \bar{R})_\sigma$ and for each $d \geq 0$ an isomorphism*

$$\varrho^* \mathfrak{r}^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}[d-1-n^2(g-1)](\frac{d-1-n^2(g-1)}{2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$$

over $\varrho^{-1}(\text{Bun}_n^d \cap \text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}})$. These data are defined up to a canonical isomorphism. Besides, over each connected component of $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{\text{est}}$, $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$ is the Goresky-MacPherson extension from any nonempty open substack, and the formation of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$ commutes with extension of scalars (4).

2) *Set $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$ to be $\mathfrak{r}^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}[-1](-\frac{1}{2})$ over $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$. Then $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$ has a unique structure of a Hecke eigensheaf with respect to $\bar{E}$, which is compatible with the Hecke property of ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}$.*

Proof Let $d > n^2(g-1)$ be such that $\text{Bun}_n^d \cap \text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$ is nonempty. Let $U \subset \text{Bun}_n^d \cap \text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$ be a nonempty open substack such that Aut_{E_0} is an (appropriately shifted) smooth $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaf over U . Let $U' = \varrho^{-1}(U)$. So, over U' , ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is a smooth $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -sheaf (appropriately shifted).

Recall that $U' \rightarrow U$ is a vector bundle of rank $d - n^2(g - 1)$ with removed zero section. Let $\bar{U}$ be the image of U under $\mathfrak{r} : \text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$. Then $U' \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is a projectivization of a vector bundle. By 1) of Lemma 7, there exists an (appropriately shifted) smooth $\bar{R}_\sigma$ -sheaf V_0 on $\bar{U}$ and an isomorphism in $\text{D}_c^b(U', \bar{R})_\sigma$

$$\varrho^* \mathfrak{r}^* V_0[d - 1 - n^2(g - 1)](\frac{d - 1 - n^2(g - 1)}{2}) \xrightarrow{\sim}_n \mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$$

Define the restriction of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$ to $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d \cap \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{\text{est}}$ as the Goresky-MacPherson extension of V_0 from $\bar{U}$. Since $\varrho^{-1}(\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}) \subset {}_n\mathcal{M}^{\text{est}}$, our first assertion follows. All the other assertions follow from the fact that the restriction $\varrho^{-1}(\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}}$ of ϱ is smooth and surjective with connected fibres. $\square$

Step 3. As in ([7], 1.5), Hecke property of $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$ yields the isomorphism (14) over $\text{Bun}_n^{\text{est}} \times X$. This isomorphism descends to give the isomorphism (11) over $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{\text{est}} \times X$. Then one extends $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$ to the entire $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ as follows.

For any open substack of finite type $U \subset \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ there exists an integer d' such that for any $x \in X$ the morphism $\underline{\text{mult}}_{d'x} : \underline{\text{Bun}}_n \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ sending L to $L(d'x)$ maps U isomorphically onto a substack of $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{\text{est}}$. Set $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}|_U$ to be

$$(\wedge^n \bar{E}_x)^{\otimes -d'} \otimes \underline{\text{mult}}_{d'x}^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{\text{est}}$$

This gives a well-defined perverse sheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}} \in \text{Perv}_{fl}(\underline{\text{Bun}}_n)$ together with the isomorphism (11) over the entire $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n \times X$. One concludes the proof as in (*loc.cit.*, 7.8 and 7.9).

$\square$ (Proposition 2)

Remark 3. 1) Denote by $\text{Bun}_{\text{PGL}_n}$ the moduli stack of PGL_n -bundles on X . There exists a morphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}} : \underline{\text{Bun}}_n \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\text{PGL}_n}$ such that the composition $\text{Bun}_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}} \underline{\text{Bun}}_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}} \text{Bun}_{\text{PGL}_n}$ is the canonical map $\text{Bun}_n \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\text{PGL}_n}$. The morphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ is representable, smooth and separated. Let $t_X : \text{Bun}_n^d \times \text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n^d$ be the map that sends $(L, \mathcal{A})$ to $L \otimes \mathcal{A}$. We have a map $\underline{t}_X : \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d \times \underline{\text{Pic}}^0 X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_n^d \times \text{Pic}^0 X & \xrightarrow{t_X} & \text{Bun}_n^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d \times \underline{\text{Pic}}^0 X & \xrightarrow{\underline{t}_X} & \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d \end{array}$$

commutes, and the following two squares are cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Bun}_n^d & \rightarrow & \text{Bun}_{\text{PGL}_n} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}} & \text{Bun}_{\text{PGL}_n} \\ \uparrow t_X & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \tilde{\mathfrak{r}} \\ \text{Bun}_n^d \times \text{Pic}^0 X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \text{Bun}_n^d & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d \end{array}$$

2) Let Λ be a noetherian ring such that the characteristic of Λ is invertible in k . Then $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n$ is a Bernstein-Lunts stack with respect to Λ in the sense of ([12], 18.7.4).

Indeed, if $\mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ is a representable separated morphism of algebraic stacks, and $\mathcal{X}_2$ is a Bernstein-Lunts stack then $\mathcal{X}_1$ is also. Apply this for $\tilde{\tau}$. The stack $\text{Bun}_{\text{PGL}_n}$ is a Bernstein-Lunts stack, because it is of the form M/G , where M is a separated algebraic space with an action of an affine algebraic group G ([12], 18.7.5).

3 Deformations of local systems and cohomology of $\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)$

3.1 Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a finite extension field of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Fix a smooth $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaf E_0 on X of rank m . First, we recall the structure of the universal deformation of E_0 over $\mathbb{E}$. This construction is standard (cf. [17] for the definition of pro-representability, etc.).

Let $\eta \in X$ be the generic point of X and $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow X$ be a geometric point over η . Set $G = \pi_1(X, \bar{\eta})$. Let $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}})$. Recall that the functor that sends E to $E_{\bar{\eta}}$ is an equivalence between the category of smooth A -sheaves of rank m on X and the category of pairs (E, ρ) , where E is a free A -module of rank m and $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A E$ is a representation continuous in the ℓ -adic topology.

Definition 7. An A -deformation of E_0 is a pair (E, h) , where E is a smooth A -sheaf on X of rank m and $h : E \otimes_A \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_0$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaves on X .

Define the functor $F_{E_0} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ by $F_{E_0}(A) =$ the set of isomorphism classes of A -deformations of E_0 .

Proposition 3. If $\text{End}(E_0) = \mathbb{E}$ then F_{E_0} is pro-representable by a pro-pair (R, E) , where R is (non canonically) isomorphic to the ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{E}$ in $2 + (2g - 2)m^2$ variables. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be the maximal ideal of R . The $\mathbb{E}$ -dual of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is canonically identified with $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}nd E_0)$. If, in addition, $\mathbb{E} \subset \mathbb{E}'$ is a finite extension field and $\text{End}(E_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{E}') = \mathbb{E}'$ then the pro-pair $(R \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{E}', E \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{E}')$ pro-represents the functor $F_{E_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{E}'}$.

Lemma 13. Suppose that $\text{End}(E_0) = \mathbb{E}$

- 1) If E is an A -deformation of E_0 then $\text{End}(E) = A$.
- 2) Let $A' \rightarrow A, A'' \rightarrow A$ be two morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$. Suppose that $A'' \rightarrow A$ is surjective then the natural morphism $F_{E_0}(A' \times_A A'') \rightarrow F_{E_0}(A') \times_{F_{E_0}(A)} F_{E_0}(A'')$ is a bijection.

Proof 2) The surjectivity is easy. To prove the injectivity use point 1) and Corollary 3.6, p.217 of [17]. $\square$

Lemma 14. Let $A' \rightarrow A$ be a surjection in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$, whose kernel is a 1-dimensional $\mathbb{E}$ -vector space. Let V' be a free A' -module of rank m . Put $V = V' \otimes_{A'} A$. Then the natural map

$$\text{GL}(V') \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V') \times_{\text{PGL}(V)} \text{GL}(V) \times_{\text{GL}(\det V)} \text{GL}(\det V')$$

is an isomorphism of groups. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3

Consider the ring $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ of dual numbers. The groupoid of $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ -deformations of E_0 is naturally equivalent to the category of extensions $0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow ? \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow 0$ on X . So, the tangent space to F_{E_0} is identified with $\text{Ext}_X^1(E_0, E_0) = H^1(X, \mathcal{E}nd E_0)$. Now combining Lemma 13 with Theorem 2.11 of [17] we get the pro-representability of F_{E_0} by a pro-pair (R, E) .

Let us show that the morphism of functors associating to an A -deformation E of E_0 the A -deformation $\det E$ of $\det E_0$ is a formally smooth morphism from the universal deformation of E_0 to the universal deformation of $\det E_0$. Let $A' \rightarrow A$, V' and V be as in Lemma 14. Suppose that V is equipped with a structure of an A -deformation of E_0 . Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A V$ be the corresponding representation of G . Since $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}nd_0 E_0) = 0$, we get $H^2(X, \mathcal{E}nd_0 E_0) = 0$ (we write $\mathcal{E}nd_0 E$ for the sheaf of traceless endomorphisms of E). It follows that the corresponding representation of G in $\text{PGL}(V)$ can be lifted to a representation $\rho' : G \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V')$. Now our assertion follows from Lemma 14.

The universal deformation of $\det E_0$ is formally smooth, because it is isomorphic to the universal deformation of the trivial 1-dimensional local system, which is an infinitesimal formal $\mathbb{E}$ -group (cf. SGA3, t.1, VII_B, 3.3). So, R is formally smooth, i.e., by ([17], 2.5), is isomorphic to the ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{E}$.

Since $\chi(\mathcal{E}nd_0 E_0) = (2 - 2g)(m^2 - 1)$, we have $\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{E}nd E_0) = (2g - 2)m^2 + 2$.

If $\mathbb{E} \subset \mathbb{E}'$ is a finite extension with $\text{End}(E_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{E}') = \mathbb{E}'$ then $(R \otimes \mathbb{E}', E \otimes \mathbb{E}')$ is a pro-pair for the functor $F_{E_0 \otimes \mathbb{E}'} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}'} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$, which defines a morphism of functors $h_{R \otimes \mathbb{E}'} \rightarrow F_{E_0 \otimes \mathbb{E}'}$, where $h_{R \otimes \mathbb{E}'} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}'} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ denotes the functor represented by $R \otimes \mathbb{E}'$, that is, $h_{R \otimes \mathbb{E}'}(B) = \text{Hom}_{\text{local } \mathbb{E}'\text{-alg}}(R \otimes \mathbb{E}', B)$. We must show that this is an isomorphism of functors. Since $F_{E_0 \otimes \mathbb{E}'}$ can be represented by a ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{E}'$, our assertion follows from the fact that the induced map on the tangent spaces is an isomorphism. $\square$

By definition, $E = (E_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $E_k \in F_{E_0}(R/\mathfrak{m}^k)$ are such that the image of E_{k+1} under $F_{E_0}(R/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}) \rightarrow F_{E_0}(R/\mathfrak{m}^k)$ is E_k . Fix a $R/\mathfrak{m}^k$ -deformation of E_0 in the isomorphism class E_k and denote it by the same symbol E_k . For each k fix an isomorphism of $R/\mathfrak{m}^k$ -deformations of E_0 : $E_{k+1} \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}} R/\mathfrak{m}^k \xrightarrow{\sim} E_k$. Then the projective system $(E_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an object of $\text{Sh}(X, R)$, equipped with an isomorphism $\alpha : E \otimes_R \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_0$ of $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaves on X . Notice that R is defined up to a canonical isomorphism, whence the R -sheaf E is defined up to a non-canonical isomorphism.

3.2 Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{E}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{E}$, κ be the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$, and $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$ be a uniformizing parameter. A smooth $\mathcal{O}$ -sheaf E'_0 on X together with an isomorphism $E'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_0$ can be viewed as a G -invariant $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in $(E_0)_{\bar{\eta}}$. Set $\bar{E}_0 = E'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \kappa$. It is easy to see that, though $\bar{E}_0$ is not defined up to an isomorphism by E_0 , the image of $\bar{E}_0$ in the Grothendieck group of the category of smooth κ -sheaves on X is uniquely defined by E_0 .

In this subsection we compare the universal deformation (R, E) of E_0 and the universal deformation of $\bar{E}_0$ over $\mathcal{O}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the category of local Artin $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras with residue field κ (the morphisms are local homomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras). For $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}})$ one defines a notion of an A -deformation of $\bar{E}_0$ and a functor $F_{\bar{E}_0} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ as in Sect. 3.1. The proof of the next result is similar to that of Proposition 3.

Proposition 4. *If $\text{End}(\bar{E}_0) = \kappa$ then $F_{\bar{E}_0}$ is pro-representable by a pro-pair $(\bar{R}, \bar{E})$, where $\bar{R}$ is a complete local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra with residue field κ . If $\bar{m}$ is the maximal ideal of $\bar{R}$ then the κ -dual of $\bar{m}/(\bar{m}^2, \omega)$ is canonically identified with $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}nd \bar{E}_0)$. $\square$*

As in Sect. 3.1, we may and will view $\bar{E}$ as an object of $\text{Sh}(X, \bar{R})$ equipped with an isomorphism $\bar{\alpha} : \bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} \kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{E}_0$. (Notice that $\bar{R}$ is defined by $\bar{E}_0$ up to a canonical isomorphism, whence $\bar{E}$ is defined up to a non canonical isomorphism.)

Since E'_0 is an $\mathcal{O}$ -deformation of $\bar{E}_0$, it defines a local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras $\sigma : \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ such that there exists an isomorphism $\beta : \bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'_0$ in $\text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{O})$ compatible with $\bar{\alpha}$ (so, β is defined up to multiplication by an element of $1 + \omega\mathcal{O}$). Let $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$ denote the kernel of the induced map $\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. We denote by $\bar{R}_\sigma$ the localization of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$ in $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$, and by $\hat{R}_\sigma$ the $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$ -adic completion of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$.

Remark 4. The rings $\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$ (and, hence, $\bar{R}_\sigma$ and $\hat{R}_\sigma$) are noetherian. Indeed, $\bar{R}$ is isomorphic to a quotient of the ring of formal power series $\mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_N]]$ for some N , so that $\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_N]] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$, which is noetherian (cf. [8], Appendix A.2).

The isomorphism β induces on $\bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} \hat{R}_\sigma$ a structure of a $\hat{R}_\sigma$ -deformation of E_0 . This defines a local homomorphism of $\mathbb{E}$ -algebras $\tau : R \rightarrow \hat{R}_\sigma$ that does not depend on the choice of β .

Proposition 5. *Assume that $\text{End}(E_0) = \mathbb{E}$ and $\text{End}(\bar{E}_0) = \kappa$. Then $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is formally smooth, that is, $\bar{R}$ is (non canonically) isomorphic to the ring of formal power series over $\mathcal{O}$ in $2 + (2g - 2)m^2$ variables. Besides, the natural map $\tau : R \rightarrow \hat{R}_\sigma$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{E}$ -algebras.*

Remark 5. One easily checks that if $\bar{E}_0$ is an irreducible κ -sheaf then E_0 is an irreducible $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaf on X . However, the converse is not true.

We start with the following observation. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{n} \subset A$ the maximal ideal. If $A' \subset A$ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra, which is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module with $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, then $A' = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathfrak{n}'$, where $\mathfrak{n}' = A' \cap \mathfrak{n}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in the $\mathbb{E}$ -vector space $\mathfrak{n}$. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{n}'$ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of finite type in $\mathfrak{n}$ with $\mathfrak{n}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{n}$ then the $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra $A' \subset A$ generated by $\mathfrak{n}'$ satisfies $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, and A' is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module.

Lemma 15. *1) Let $A' \subset A$ be an $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra, which is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module with $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$. Let M be a free A -module of rank m , $M' \subset M$ be an A' -submodule of finite type with $M' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. Then there exists an $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra $A' \subset A'' \subset A$ such that A'' is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module, and $A''M'$ is a free A'' -module.*

2) Let, in addition, M'_0 denote the image of $M' \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{n}M$ then the image of $A''M' \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{n}M$ also equals M'_0 , and the latter map induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -modules $A''M' \otimes_{A''} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} M'_0$.

Proof Notice that $M'_0 = M'/(M' \cap \mathfrak{n}M)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of rank m (an $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice) in the m -dimensional $\mathbb{E}$ -vector space $M/\mathfrak{n}M$. Pick $e_1, \dots, e_m \in M'$ that define an $\mathcal{O}$ -basis in M'_0 . Then $e_1, \dots, e_m$ is an A -basis in M . Set $L = A'e_1 + \dots + A'e_m \subset M'$.

Any $x \in M'$ is written uniquely as $x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_me_m$ with $a_i \in A$. Reducing modulo $\mathfrak{n}$ we learn that every a_i lies in $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$.

Let $u_1, \dots, u_r$ generate M' over A' . Write $u_i = \sum_j a_{ij} e_j$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. Let A'' be the A' -subalgebra of A generated by all a_{ij} . Then $M' \subset A''L$, so that $A''M' = A''L$, and $A''L$ is a free A'' -module. The second statement is clear. $\square$

Lemma 16. 1) Let V be a smooth A -sheaf on X . Then there exists an $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra $A' \subset A$, which is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module with $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, a smooth A' -sheaf V' on X , and an isomorphism $\nu : V' \otimes_{A'} A \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ of A -sheaves on X .

2) Given, in addition, a smooth $\mathcal{O}$ -sheaf V'_0 and an isomorphism $V'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} V \otimes_A \mathbb{E}$, one may chose A', V', ν such that the isomorphism $(V' \otimes_{A'} \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow V'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$ induced by ν is obtained by extension of scalars from an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -sheaves $V' \otimes_{A'} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'_0$.

Proof 1) View V as a free A -module with a continuous representation of G . Pick a G -invariant $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice V_1 in V . Pick any $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra $A' \subset A$, which is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module with $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, and apply Lemma 15 for the A' -submodule $A'V_1$ of V .

2) Recall that $\mathfrak{n} \subset A$ denotes the maximal ideal. Chose V_1 with an additional property: the image of V_1 in $V/\mathfrak{n}V$ is the $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice V'_0 . Then for any $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra $A'' \subset A$, which is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module with $A'' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, we still have the same property for V_1 replaced by $A''V_1$. So, our assertion follows from ii) of Lemma 15. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 5

Let $D' \rightarrow D$ be a surjection in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$, whose kernel is a principal ideal $(t) \subset D'$ with $t\mathfrak{m}_{D'} = 0$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{D'}$ denotes the maximal ideal of D' . We must show that any local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras $\bar{R} \rightarrow D$ can be lifted to $\bar{R} \rightarrow D'$.

Pick $k > 0$ with $\mathfrak{m}_D^k = 0$, where $\mathfrak{m}_D \subset D$ is the maximal ideal. Then $\mathfrak{m}_{D'}^{k+1} = 0$. Set $A = R/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$ and denote by $\mathfrak{n} \subset A$ the maximal ideal. By Lemma 16, there is an $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra $A' \subset A$, which is a finite $\mathcal{O}$ -module with $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, a smooth A' -sheaf V on X and an isomorphism $\delta : V \otimes_{A'} A \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes_R A$ of A -sheaves on X such that the induced isomorphism

$$(V \otimes_{A'} \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E}$$

of $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaves is obtained by extension of scalars from an isomorphism $\gamma : V \otimes_{A'} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'_0$ of $\mathcal{O}$ -sheaves on X . Further, γ endows V with a structure of an A' -deformation of $\bar{E}_0$. This defines a local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras $\bar{R} \rightarrow A'$ such that $\bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} A'$ and V are isomorphic as A' -deformations of $\bar{E}_0$.

Notice that the composition $\bar{R} \rightarrow A' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ coincides with σ . Set $B = (\bar{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E})/\mathfrak{m}_{\sigma}^{k+1}$, so that $\bar{R} \rightarrow A'$ yields a morphism $B \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$.

Lemma 17. The composition $R \xrightarrow{\tau} \hat{R}_{\sigma} \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$ coincides with the natural map $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$.

Proof Let $f_i : R \rightarrow C$ be two local homomorphisms of $\mathbb{E}$ -algebras with $C \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{E}})$. If $h : E \otimes_{R, f_1} C \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes_{R, f_2} C$ is an isomorphism of C -sheaves on X then, for a suitable $a \in \mathbb{E}^*$, ah is an isomorphism of C -deformations of E_0 , which implies $f_1 = f_2$. In our case the corresponding A -sheaves on X are isomorphic by definition. Indeed, by definition of $\tau : R \rightarrow \hat{R}_{\sigma}$, we have $(E \otimes_R \hat{R}_{\sigma}) \otimes_{\hat{R}_{\sigma}} B \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} B$. Finally,

$$(\bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} B) \otimes_B A \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} A') \otimes_{A'} A \xrightarrow{\sim} V \otimes_{A'} A \xrightarrow{\delta} E \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$$

□

By Lemma 17, the image A_0 of $\bar{R} \rightarrow A'$ generates A as a $\mathbb{E}$ -vector space. Replacing A' by A_0 and V by $\bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} A_0$, we may assume that $\bar{R} \rightarrow A'$ is surjective.

Consider the composition $\bar{R} \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{n}^2$. Its image is an $\mathcal{O}$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{O} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ of $A/\mathfrak{n}^2$ such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$. Let r denote the dimension of $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ over $\mathbb{E}$. Pick $e_1, \dots, e_r \in \bar{R}$ whose images in $A/\mathfrak{n}^2$ define an $\mathcal{O}$ -basis of $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. Let $\rho : \mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_r]] \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be the continuous homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras that takes t_i to e_i .

We claim that ρ is surjective. To see this it suffices to show that the reduction $\kappa[[t_1, \dots, t_r]] \rightarrow \bar{R}/\omega\bar{R}$ of ρ is surjective. Now, $\bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ induces a κ -linear surjective map $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}/(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^2, \omega) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/\omega\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. The latter is an isomorphism, because the dimension of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}/(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^2, \omega)$ equals r by Proposition 4. So, ρ is surjective and induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]/(t_1, \dots, t_r)^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$.

Clearly, $\bar{R} \rightarrow D$ factors as $\bar{R} \rightarrow A' \rightarrow D$ and $A' \rightarrow D$ can be lifted to $A' \rightarrow \hat{R}_\sigma$, so that $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is formally smooth. By Lemma 17, $\tau : R \rightarrow \hat{R}_\sigma$ is injective. Since τ induces an isomorphism on tangent spaces, it is an isomorphism.

□ (Proposition 5)

Remark 6. If we do not assume that $\text{End}(\bar{E}_0) = \kappa$ then $F_{\bar{E}_0}$ has a hull $(\bar{R}, \bar{E})$ in the sense of Schlessinger [17], where $\bar{R}$ is a complete local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra (defined up to a non canonical isomorphism). One still can define the maps $\sigma : \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and $\tau : R \rightarrow \hat{R}_\sigma$ as above (they are no more unique) and show that τ is injective. We conjecture that this map $\tau : R \rightarrow \hat{R}_\sigma$ is formally smooth, that is, $\hat{R}_\sigma$ is a ring of formal power series over R .

3.3 Cohomology of $\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)$

In the rest of Sect. 3 we assume the conditions of Proposition 5 satisfied.

Recall that $(\bar{R}, \bar{E})$ denotes the universal deformation of $\bar{E}_0$ over $\mathcal{O}$. Notice that $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}$ is isomorphic to the ring of formal power series over $\mathcal{O}$ in $2r$ -variables, where $r = 2 + (2g - 2)m^2$. Put $\bar{E}_i = \bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} (\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R})$, where the homomorphisms $\bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}$ correspond to two projections $\text{Spf}(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}) \rightarrow \text{Spf} \bar{R}$. So, $\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)$ is a smooth $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}$ -sheaf on X . Consider the map

$$\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2) \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}} \bar{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E}) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \bar{R}$$

Applying the functor $H^2(X, \cdot)$, we get a canonical map $H^2(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)) \rightarrow H^2(X, \bar{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{R}(-1)$.

Proposition 6. 1) $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))$ is an object of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R})$ that can be represented by a complex $(V^0 \rightarrow V^1 \rightarrow V^2)$ of free $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}$ -modules with $\text{rk } V^0 = \text{rk } V^2 = 1$, $\text{rk } V^1 = (2g - 2)m^2 + 2$ such that the differential in V is zero modulo the maximal ideal of $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}$. The complex V is defined up to a non canonical isomorphism of complexes.

2) The canonical map $H^2(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)) \rightarrow \bar{R}(-1)$ is an isomorphism of $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}$ -modules.

Proof 1) By ([4], 6.3), $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))$ lies in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R})$, and we have

$$R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E}_0)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)) \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}}^L \kappa$$

By Lemma 2, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))$ can be represented by a perfect complex V of $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -modules whose differential is zero modulo the maximal ideal of $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$, and V is defined up to a non canonical isomorphism. However, the complex $V \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} \kappa$ is defined up to a canonical isomorphism. More presicely, $V^i \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} \kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(X, \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E}_0))$ canonically for every i . Our first assertion follows.

2) By the projection formulae ([8], ii) of Proposition A.1.5),

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)) \stackrel{L}{\otimes}_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} \bar{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd \bar{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \bar{R}) \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_0 \bar{E})$$

Since $H^i(X, \mathcal{E}nd_0 \bar{E}) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$, the differential in $V \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} \bar{R}$ vanishes. Let $A \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Let $\bar{R} \rightarrow A$ be a surjective local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras, $I \subset A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$ be the ideal of the diagonal, and $J \subset I$ be another ideal.

The next assertion is an immediatte consequence of the universal property of $(\bar{R}, \bar{E})$.

Lemma 18. *If the images of $\bar{E}_1 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (A \otimes A)$ and $\bar{E}_2 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (A \otimes A)$ in $F_{\bar{E}_0}(A \otimes A/J)$ coincide then $J = I$. $\square$*

Lemma 19. *Let $B \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and M_1, M_2 be two non-isomorphic B -deformations of $\bar{E}_0$. Then for any $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}om(M_1, M_2))$ the map $f \otimes \mathrm{id} : M_1 \otimes_B \kappa \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_B \kappa$ vanishes.*

Proof We have $f \otimes \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(\bar{E}_0) = \kappa$. If $f \otimes \mathrm{id} \neq 0$ then $f \otimes \mathrm{id}$ is an isomorphism of κ -vector spaces. Therefore, f is an isomorphism of smooth B -sheaves on X . After a multiplication by a suitable element of κ^* , f becomes an isomorphism of B -deformations of $\bar{E}_0$. $\square$

Set $B = A \otimes A/J$.

Lemma 20. *If the differential $d^0 : V^0 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} B \rightarrow V^1 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} B$ vanishes then $J = I$.*

Proof Consider the B -deformations $M_i = E_i \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} B$ of $\bar{E}_0$ ($i = 1, 2$). By our assumption, $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}om(M_1, M_2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} V^0 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} B$ is a free B -module of rank 1. Suppose that $J \neq I$ then M_1 and M_2 are non-isomorphic by Lemma 18. Denote by $\mathfrak{n}$ the maximal ideal of B and set $\mathrm{Ann} \mathfrak{n} = \{b \in B \mid b\mathfrak{n} = 0\}$. By Lemma 19, $\mathrm{Ann} \mathfrak{n}$ annihilates $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}om(M_1, M_2))$. Since $\mathrm{Ann} \mathfrak{n} \neq 0$, we get a contradiction. $\square$

Consider the complex $V \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (A \otimes A)$. Combining Lemma 20 with the Poincaré duality, one proves that the image of the differential $d^1 : V^1 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (A \otimes A) \rightarrow V^2 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (A \otimes A)$ is $I(V^2 \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (A \otimes A))$. In other words, the natural map

$$H^2(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2) \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (A \otimes A)) \rightarrow A(-1)$$

is an isomorphism. Passing to the limit we get the desired assertion. $\square$ (Proposition 6)

3.4 Cohomology of ${}^x(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}$

Recall that we write $\mathrm{Pic}^d X$ for the Picard stack classifying invertible sheaves of degree d on X . Let $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}^d X$ be the corresponding Picard scheme of X , so that the natural map $\tau : \mathrm{Pic}^d X \rightarrow$

$\underline{\text{Pic}}^d X$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe. Chose a closed point $x \in X$. It defines a section $\alpha_x : \underline{\text{Pic}}^d X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d X$ of τ . Namely, if one considers $\underline{\text{Pic}}^d X$ as the moduli scheme of pairs $(\mathcal{A}, t)$, where $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic}^d X$ and $t : \mathcal{A}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} k$ is a trivialization of the geometric fibre at x then α_x sends $(\mathcal{A}, t)$ to $\mathcal{A}$.

Define the $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor $\alpha'_x : {}^x X^{(d)} \rightarrow X^{(d)}$ by the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^x X^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_x} & X^{(d)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\text{Pic}}^d X & \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} & \text{Pic}^d X, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow sends a divisor $D \in X^{(d)}$ to $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

Recall that $\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)$ is a smooth $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -sheaf on X , so that $(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}$ is a constructible $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -sheaf on $X^{(d)}$ (cf. Sect. 1.4). Let ${}^x(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}$ denote its inverse image to ${}^x X^{(d)}$. In this subsection we prove the following result.

Proposition 7. *For $d > 0$ there is a canonical isomorphism of $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -modules*

$$\text{H}_c^{2d+2-i}({}^x X^{(d)}, {}^x(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \bar{R}(-d-1), & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 < i < d, \end{cases}$$

where the $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -module structure on $\bar{R}$ is given via the diagonal mapping $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}$.

This will be done using Proposition 6 and Appendices A and B.

3.4.1 To prove Proposition 7 we need the following linear algebra lemma.

Let A be a (commutative) ring of characteristic 0. Consider a complex of A -modules $M = (A \rightarrow M^{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} A)$, where M^{-1} is a free A -module of rank r . (So, $M^{-2} = M^0 = A$ and $M^i = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $i < -2$). Suppose that there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_r \in M^{-1}$ such that $\partial(e_1), \dots, \partial(e_r)$ is a regular sequence for A . Denote by I the image of ∂ . Let $\xi_1 : M \rightarrow M[2]$ be a morphism of complexes such that the induced map $M^{-2} \rightarrow M^0$ is an isomorphism. Define the morphism $\xi : \bigotimes_{i=1}^d M \rightarrow (\bigotimes_{i=1}^d M)[2]$ as $\xi_1 \otimes \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id} + \dots + \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id} \otimes \xi_1$. Then there is a (unique) morphism $\xi_d : \text{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d(M)[2]$ such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigotimes_{i=1}^d M & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \bigotimes_{i=1}^d M[2] \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \text{Sym}^d(M) & \xrightarrow{\xi_d} & \text{Sym}^d(M)[2] \end{array}$$

Notice that $\text{Sym}^d(M)$ is a bounded complex of free A -modules of finite type.

Lemma A.1. *Define the object $K \in \text{D}_{\text{parf}}(A)$ from the distinguished triangle $K \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d(M) \xrightarrow{\xi_d} \text{Sym}^d(M)[2]$. Then $\text{H}^0(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} A/I$ and $\text{H}^i(K) = 0$ for $-d < i < 0$ and for $i > 0$.*

The proof is given in Appendix A.

3.4.2 Denote by $Y_x : X^{(d-1)} \hookrightarrow X^{(d)}$ the closed immersion that sends D to $D + x$. We consider Y_x as a divisor on $X^{(d)}$ and write sometimes $Y_x \hookrightarrow X^{(d)}$ for the same closed subscheme. Denote by $'Y_x$ the inverse image of Y_x under $\text{sym} : X^d \rightarrow X^{(d)}$. (In other words, the closed immersion $'Y_x \hookrightarrow X^d$ is obtained from $Y_x \hookrightarrow X^{(d)}$ by the base change $\text{sym} : X^d \rightarrow X^{(d)}$). Denote by $'Y_x^i$ the inverse image of x under $\text{pr}_i : X^d \rightarrow X$. So, $'Y_x^i$ and $'Y_x$ are divisors on X^d , and we have $'Y_x = 'Y_x^1 + \dots + 'Y_x^d$.

Consider the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}(Y_x)$ on $X^{(d)}$.

Lemma 21. ${}^x X^{(d)}$ is naturally isomorphic to the total space of $\mathcal{O}(Y_x)$ with removed zero section.

Proof Denote by $Y^{univ} \hookrightarrow X^{(d)} \times X$ the universal divisor. Clearly, the inverse image of Y^{univ} under the closed immersion $X^{(d)} \times x \hookrightarrow X^{(d)} \times X$ is the divisor $Y_x \times x$ on $X^{(d)} \times x$ with some multiplicity $r > 0$. It is enough to show that $r = 1$, i.e., to show that the following square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^{univ} & \hookrightarrow & X^{(d)} \times X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X^{(d-1)} \times x & \xrightarrow{Y_x \times \text{id}} & X^{(d)} \times x \end{array}$$

To do so, denote by $'Y^{univ}$ the inverse image of Y^{univ} under $X^d \times X \xrightarrow{\text{sym} \times \text{id}} X^{(d)} \times X$. Since the inverse image of $'Y^{univ}$ under the closed immersion $X^d \times x \hookrightarrow X^d \times X$ is $'Y_x \times x$ with multiplicity one, our assertion follows. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 7

Let for brevity $\mathcal{R} = \bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R}$. By Lemma B.1, we have a distinguished triangle

$$(\alpha'_x)_! \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(-1)[-2] \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{R}$$

in $D_c^b(X^{(d)}, \mathcal{R})$, where $c \in H^2(X^{(d)}, \mathcal{R}(1))$ is the Chern class of $\mathcal{O}(Y_x)$. By Künneth's formulae,

$$H^2(X^d, \mathcal{R}) = \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_d = 2} H^{i_1}(X, \mathcal{R}) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{i_d}(X, \mathcal{R})$$

and $H^2(X^{(d)}, \mathcal{R}) = H^2(X^d, \mathcal{R})^{S_d}$. Denote by c' the image of c in $H^2(X^d, \mathcal{R}(1))$. The construction of the Chern class is functorial, so that c' is the Chern class of $\mathcal{O}('Y_x)$. Since $'Y_x = 'Y_x^1 + \dots + 'Y_x^d$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c' &= c_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 + \dots + 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes c_1 \in \\ &H^2(X, \mathcal{R}(1)) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{R}) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{R}) \oplus \dots \\ &\oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{R}) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{R}) \otimes H^2(X, \mathcal{R}(1)) \subset H^2(X^d, \mathcal{R}(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 \in H^2(X, \mathcal{R}(1))$ is the Chern class of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}(x)$ on X . Since $\mathcal{O}(x)$ is of degree 1, we have $c_1 \neq 0$. On $X^{(d)}$ we get a distinguished triangle

$$(\alpha'_x)_! {}^x(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}(1)[2] \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)} \xrightarrow{c} (\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}(1)[2]$$

Let ξ_d be the morphism obtained from $(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)} \xrightarrow{c} (\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}(1)[2]$ by applying the functor $R\Gamma(X^{(d)}, \cdot)$. We get the distinguished triangle in $D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{R})$

$$R\Gamma_c(X^{(d)}, {}^x(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}(1)[2]) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X^{(d)}, (\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}) \xrightarrow{\xi_d} R\Gamma(X^{(d)}, (\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}(1)[2])$$

Since $\text{sym}_1(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{\boxtimes d}$ is a direct sum over the irreducible representations of S_d , the same holds for $R\Gamma(X^d, (\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{\boxtimes d}) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))$, and we have naturally

$$R\Gamma(X^{(d)}, (\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{(d)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^d R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)) \right)^{S_d}$$

Denote also by $\xi : \bigotimes_{i=1}^d R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{i=1}^d R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))(1)[2]$ the morphism obtained from $\text{sym}_1(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{\boxtimes d} \xrightarrow{c} \text{sym}_1(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2))^{\boxtimes d}(1)[2]$ by applying the functor $R\Gamma(X^{(d)}, \cdot)$.

The map ξ is a cup product by an element $c \in H^2(X^{(d)}, \mathcal{R}(1))$. Replacing the cup product on $X^{(d)}$ by that on X^d , we get

$$\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id} + \cdots + \text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id} \otimes \xi_1$$

Pick a perfect complex M of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules that represents $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2))$. We assume that M is chosen as in 1) of Proposition 6. Pick a morphism $\tilde{\xi}_1 : M \rightarrow M(1)[2]$ that represents ξ_1 in $D_{parf}(\mathcal{R})$ (so, $\tilde{\xi}_1$ is defined up to a homotopy). Since $c_1 \neq 0$, it follows that $\tilde{\xi}_1$ is given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M^0 & \rightarrow & M^1 & \rightarrow & M^2 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ M^0(1) & \rightarrow & M^1(1) & \rightarrow & M^2(1), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules.

Let $r = 2 + (2g - 2)m^2$. By Proposition 6, M^1 is a free $\mathcal{R}$ -module of rank r . Further, any r elements in $\mathcal{R}$, which generate the ideal of the diagonal, form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{R}$. So, combining 2) of Proposition 6 with Lemma A.1 we get the desired assertion. $\square$

4 Main Global Theorem

4.1 Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ that contains the group of p -th roots of unity, $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{E}$ be its ring of integers, and κ be the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let E_0 be a smooth $\mathbb{E}$ -sheaf of rank n on X such that $E_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is irreducible (this, in particular, implies $\text{End}(E_0) = \mathbb{E}$).

Choose a smooth $\mathcal{O}$ -sheaf E'_0 on X together with an isomorphism $E'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_0$ and let $\bar{E}_0 = E'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \kappa$. The local system $\bar{E}_0$ may not be irreducible. We impose an additional assumption $\text{End}(\bar{E}_0 \otimes_{\kappa} \bar{\kappa}) = \bar{\kappa}$, where $\bar{\kappa}$ is an algebraic closure of κ . This automatically implies $\text{End}(\bar{E}_0) = \kappa$.

Let $(\bar{R}, \bar{E})$ be the universal deformation of $\bar{E}_0$ over $\mathcal{O}$. It is equipped with a local homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras $\sigma : \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Sect. 3.2).

Put $\bar{E}_i = \bar{E} \otimes_{\bar{R}} (\bar{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \bar{R})$ ($i = 1, 2$), where the homomorphisms $\bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ correspond to two projections $\mathrm{Spf}(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\bar{R})$. These are smooth $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -sheaves on X of rank n .

By abuse of notation, the composition of the diagonal map $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ with $\sigma : \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ will also be denoted by σ . So, we have the categories $D_c^b(\cdot, \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$ (cf. Sect. 1.4).

Our main result is the following.

Main Global Theorem. *Suppose that Conjecture 1 is true. Then for any integer d there is a canonical isomorphism in $D_c^b(\mathrm{Spec} k, \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}_n^d, \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_1}^d \otimes_{(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma}^L \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_2}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{R}$$

where $\bar{R}$ is considered as a $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -module via the diagonal map $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}$.

Remarks . i) As in Sect. 1.4, let $(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$ denote the localization of $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ in the multiplicative system $\{x \in \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R} \mid \sigma(x) \neq 0\}$. Notice that $\bar{R}_\sigma$ is the localization of $\bar{R}$ in $\{x \in \bar{R} \mid \sigma(x) \neq 0\}$. By Proposition 2,

$$\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\bar{E}} \otimes_{\bar{R}_\sigma}^L (\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$$

is an object of $\mathrm{Perv}_{fl}(\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}_n, \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$. By Remark 1, the core of the natural t -structure on $D_c^b(\mathrm{Spec} k, \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$ is a full subcategory of the category of $(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$ -modules. Since

$$\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} (\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{R}_\sigma,$$

Main Global Theorem can be reformulated as follows: *for any integers i, d there is a canonical isomorphism of $(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{H}_c^i(\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}_n^d, \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_1}^d \otimes_{(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma}^L \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_2}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \bar{R}_\sigma, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{R}_\sigma$ is viewed as a $(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$ -module via the localized diagonal map $(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma \rightarrow \bar{R}_\sigma$.

ii) The stack $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}_n^d$ is not of finite type (for $n > 1$). However, the cuspidality condition of Conjecture 1 implies that $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^d$ is the extension by zero from a substack of finite type of $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}_n^d$. So, in fact, we calculate the cohomology of a stack of finite type.

iii) The definition of G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly ([12], 18.8) of the cohomology with compact support of a stack is applicable here, because $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}_n$ is a Bernstein-Lunts stack (cf. Remark 3).

4.2 Essentially, the idea is to derive Main Global Theorem from Main Local Theorem ([14]). Actually, instead of using Main Local Theorem, we will replace it by the following statement, which is easier to prove as soon as Conjecture 1 is assumed true.

Let $\eta : \mathrm{Bun}_n^d \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^d X$ be the map that sends L to $(\det L) \otimes \Omega^{(1-n)+(2-n)+\dots+(n-n)}$. Let us write $\gamma : X^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^d X$ for the map that sends $D \in X^{(d)}$ to $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

Proposition 8. *Assume that Conjecture 1 is true. Then for each $d \geq 0$ there is a canonical isomorphism in $D_c^b(\text{Pic}^d X, \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$*

$$\eta_!(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^d \otimes_{\varrho!}({}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}_2}^d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma_! \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)^{(d)}[d + n^2(g-1)](\frac{d + n^2(g-1)}{2})$$

The complex ${}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^0$ does not depend on $\bar{E}$ and will be denoted ${}_n\mathcal{K}^0$. To prove the above proposition, we will only use the particular case $d = 0$ of Main Local Theorem under the following form.

Lemma 22. *There is a canonical isomorphism $\eta_!\varrho_!({}_n\mathcal{K}^0 \otimes {}_n\mathcal{K}^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma_!\bar{R}$ in $D_c^b(\text{Pic}^0 X, \bar{R})$.*

Proof We have $\text{R}\Gamma_c(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{L}_\psi) = 0$ not only in $D_c^b(\text{Spec } k, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ but also in $D_c^b(\text{Spec } k, \bar{R})$. This can be seen, for example, from ([15], Lemma 3.3). Therefore, the proof given in ([14], Lemma 6) holds for $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves replaced by $\bar{R}$ -sheaves. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 8. By Proposition 2, $\mathbb{D}(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}^*}$. Therefore,

$$\eta_!(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^d \otimes_{\varrho!}({}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}_2}^d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \eta_!\mathbb{D} \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(\varrho_!({}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}_2}^d), \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^d)$$

Recall the map $\text{supp} : {}_n\text{Mod}_d \rightarrow X^{(d)}$ (cf. Sect. 2.2). Let $\pi : {}_n\text{Mod}_d \rightarrow \text{Sh}_0^d$ be the map that sends $(L \subset L')$ to L'/L . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & {}_n\text{Mod}_d & \\ \swarrow h^\leftarrow & & \searrow h^\rightarrow \\ \text{Bun}_n & & \text{Bun}_n, \end{array}$$

where $h^\leftarrow$ (resp., $h^\rightarrow$) sends $(L \subset L') \in {}_n\text{Mod}_d$ to L (resp., to L'). Following [7], consider the averaging functor $\text{H}_{n,\bar{E}}^d : D(\text{Bun}_n) \rightarrow D(\text{Bun}_n)$ given by

$$\text{H}_{n,\bar{E}}^d(K) = h_{!}^\rightarrow(h^{\leftarrow*}K \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d)[dn](\frac{dn}{2})$$

We have $\text{H}_{n,\bar{E}}^d(\varrho_!({}_n\mathcal{K}^0)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varrho_!({}_n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d)$. Define the functor $\tilde{\text{H}}_{n,\bar{E}}^{-d} : D(\text{Bun}_n) \rightarrow D(X^{(d)} \times \text{Bun}_n)$ by

$$\tilde{\text{H}}_{n,\bar{E}}^{-d}(K') = (\text{supp} \times h^\leftarrow)_!(\pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\bar{E}}^d \otimes h^{\rightarrow*}K')[dn](\frac{dn}{2})$$

Let $\epsilon : X^{(d)} \times \text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow X^{(d)} \times \text{Pic}^d X$ be the isomorphism that sends $(D \in X^{(d)}, \mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic}^0 X)$ to $(D, \mathcal{A}(D))$. Denote by $p : X^{(d)} \times \text{Bun}_n^0 \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n^0$ and $p' : X^{(d)} \times \text{Pic}^d X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d X$ the projections. The next result is a straightforward application of the formalism of six functors.

Lemma 23. *For $K \in D(\text{Bun}_n^0)$ and $K' \in D(\text{Bun}_n^d)$ we have*

$$\eta_* \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(\text{H}_{n,\bar{E}}^d(K), K') \xrightarrow{\sim} p'_*\epsilon_*(\text{id} \times \eta)_* \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(p^*K, \tilde{\text{H}}_{n,\bar{E}}^{-d}(K')) \quad \square$$

Applying this lemma we get

$$\eta_* R\mathcal{H}om(\varrho!(n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}_2}^d), \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} p'_* \epsilon_*(\text{id} \times \eta)_* R\mathcal{H}om(p^* \varrho!(n\mathcal{K}^0), \tilde{H}_{n, \bar{E}_2^*}^{-d}(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^d))$$

By ([7], 9.5), we have

$$\tilde{H}_{n, \bar{E}_2^*}^{-d}(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{E}_1 \otimes \bar{E}_2^*)^{(d)} \boxtimes \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^0[d](\frac{d}{2})$$

This yields an isomorphism

$$R\mathcal{H}om(p^* \varrho!(n\mathcal{K}^0), \tilde{H}_{n, \bar{E}_2^*}^{-d}(\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{E}_1 \otimes \bar{E}_2^*)^{(d)} \boxtimes R\mathcal{H}om(\varrho!(n\mathcal{K}^0), \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^0)[d](\frac{d}{2})$$

Applying Lemma 22, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_* R\mathcal{H}om(\varrho!(n\mathcal{K}^0), \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^0) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \eta_* \mathbb{D}(\varrho!(n\mathcal{K}^0) \otimes \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}\eta_!(\varrho!(n\mathcal{K}^0) \otimes \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ &(\mathbb{D}\eta_! \varrho!(n\mathcal{K}^0 \otimes n\mathcal{K}^0))[n^2(1-g)](\frac{n^2(1-g)}{2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma_* \bar{R}[n^2(1-g)](\frac{n^2(1-g)}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Since the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{(d)} \times X^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & X^{(d)} \\ \downarrow \text{id} \times \gamma & & \downarrow \gamma \\ X^{(d)} \times \text{Pic}^0 X & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} X^{(d)} \times \text{Pic}^d X \xrightarrow{p'} & \text{Pic}^d X, \end{array}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_* R\mathcal{H}om(\varrho!(n\mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}_2}^d), \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1}^d) &\xrightarrow{\sim} p'_* \epsilon_*((\bar{E}_1 \otimes \bar{E}_2^*)^{(d)} \boxtimes \gamma_* \bar{R})[d + n^2(1-g)](\frac{d + n^2(1-g)}{2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ &\gamma_*(\bar{E}_1 \otimes \bar{E}_2^*)^{(d)}[d + n^2(1-g)](\frac{d + n^2(1-g)}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Verdier duality functor $\mathbb{D}$, we get the desired assertion.

□(Proposition 8)

4.3 Pick a closed point $x \in X$. Recall that it defines the map $\alpha_x : \underline{\text{Pic}}^d X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d X$ (cf. Sect. 3.4). Define the stacks ${}^x \text{Bun}_n^d$ and ${}^x \mathcal{M}_d$ from the cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^x \mathcal{M}_d & \rightarrow & {}_n \mathcal{M}_d \\ \downarrow \varrho_x & & \downarrow \varrho \\ {}^x \text{Bun}_n^d & \xrightarrow{\beta_x} & \text{Bun}_n^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ \underline{\text{Pic}}^d X & \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} & \text{Pic}^d X \end{array}$$

Note that the composition ${}^x \text{Bun}_n^d \xrightarrow{\beta_x} \text{Bun}_n^d \xrightarrow{\tau} \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d$ is a μ_n -gerbe, so that $(\tau \circ \beta_x)! \bar{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{R}$. Thus, in Main Global Theorem we may and will replace the calculation of cohomologies of $\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d$ by that of ${}^x \text{Bun}_n^d$.

Definition 8. Let ${}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^d$ be the pull-back of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^d$ under ${}^x \text{Bun}_n^d \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d$. Let also ${}^x \mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d$ be the pull-back of ${}_n \mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}}^d[1](\frac{1}{2})$ under ${}^x \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow {}_n \mathcal{M}_d$.

Proposition 8 admits the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 3. *Assume that Conjecture 1 is true. Then for any $d \geq 0$ there is a canonical isomorphism in $D_c^b(\text{Spec } k, \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\Gamma_c({}^x \text{Bun}_n^d, {}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^d \otimes \varrho_{x!}({}^x \mathcal{K}_{\bar{E}_2}^d)) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \text{R}\Gamma_c({}^x X^{(d)}, {}^x \mathcal{H}om(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)^{(d)})[d + n^2(g-1) + 2] &\left(\frac{d + n^2(g-1) + 2}{2}\right) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For $d \geq 0$ define the complex $\mathcal{N}^d$ on ${}^x \text{Bun}_n^d$ as $\varrho_{x!}(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})[2d - 2n^2(g-1)](d - n^2(g-1))$. Combining Corollary 3 with Proposition 7, we get the following result.

Corollary 4. *Assume that Conjecture 1 is true. Then for any $d > 0$ there is a canonical isomorphism in $D_c^b(\text{Spec } k, \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$*

$$\tau_{\geq 1-d} \text{R}\Gamma_c({}^x \text{Bun}_n^d, {}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^d \otimes {}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_2}^d \otimes \mathcal{N}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{R},$$

where the $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -module structure on $\bar{R}$ is given via the diagonal map $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}$. $\square$

Proof of Main Global Theorem

Recall that in [7] a vector bundle M is called *very unstable* if it can be represented as a direct sum of two vector bundles $M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $\text{Ext}^1(M_1, M_2) = 0$. Let $\text{Bun}_n^{vuns} \subset \text{Bun}_n$ denote the substack of very unstable vector bundles. By the cuspidality property, $*$ -restriction of $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}$ to Bun_n^{vuns} vanishes.

Recall that we have fixed a line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{est}$ on X (cf. Sect. 2.4). There is a constant $c_{g,n}$ such that for $d \geq c_{g,n}$ and $M \in \text{Bun}_n^d$ the condition $\text{Hom}(M, \mathcal{L}^{est}) \neq 0$ implies that M is very unstable.

Pick $c' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for each $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ all the nontrivial cohomology sheaves of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^d$ with respect to the usual t -structure are places in degrees $\leq c'$, the existence of such constant follows from formulae (11).

Given a pair of integers i and d , calculate $H_c^i(\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d, \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^d \otimes \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_2}^d)$ as follows. Pick $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ large enough, so that if we put $d' = d + kn$ then the following conditions are satisfied:

- A) $d' > 0, \quad d' \geq c_{g,n}, \quad d' > n^2(g-1)$
- B) $i \geq 1 - d', \quad i > -2d' + 2n^2(g-1) + 2c' + 2 \dim({}^x \text{Bun}_n^{d'})$

We have used the fact that the dimension of ${}^x \text{Bun}_n^{d'}$ does not depend on d' .

Consider the map $\underline{\text{mult}}_{kx} : \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d \rightarrow \underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{d'}$ that sends L to $L(kx)$. By Proposition 2, we have

$$\underline{\text{mult}}_{kx}^* \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^{d'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}}^d \boxtimes (\wedge^n \bar{E})_x^{\otimes k}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^d, \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^d \otimes \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_2}^d) \otimes (\wedge^n \bar{E}_1^* \otimes \wedge^n \bar{E}_2)_x^{\otimes k} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^i(\underline{\text{Bun}}_n^{d'}, \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^{d'} \otimes \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{E}_2}^{d'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ H_c^i({}^x \text{Bun}_n^{d'}, {}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^{d'} \otimes {}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_2}^{d'}) \end{aligned}$$

Recall the stack Bun_n^{est} defined in the proof of Proposition 2. The condition A) implies that $\text{Aut}_{\bar{E}}^{d'}$ is the extension by zero from $\text{Bun}_n^{est} \cap \text{Bun}_n^{d'}$. Let U denote the preimage of $\text{Bun}_n^{est} \cap \text{Bun}_n^{d'}$ under $\beta_x : {}^x \text{Bun}_n^{d'} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_n^{d'}$. Then over U the map $\varrho_x : {}^x_n \mathcal{M}_{d'} \rightarrow {}^x \text{Bun}_n^{d'}$ is a vector bundle of rank $d' - n^2(g-1)$ with removed zero section. So, over U we have

$$\tau_{\geq -2d' + 2n^2(g-1) + 2} \mathcal{N}^{d'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$$

Now, using condition B), from Corolary 4 we conclude that

$$H_c^i({}^x \text{Bun}_n^{d'}, {}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_1^*}^{d'} \otimes {}^x \text{Aut}_{\bar{E}_2}^{d'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \bar{R}_\sigma, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

as $(\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R})_\sigma$ -modules. Since $(\wedge^n \bar{E}_1^* \otimes \wedge^n \bar{E}_2)_x^{\otimes k}$ is a free $\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}$ -module of rank 1, and

$$(\wedge^n \bar{E}_1^* \otimes \wedge^n \bar{E}_2)_x^{\otimes k} \otimes_{\bar{R} \hat{\otimes} \bar{R}} \bar{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{R}$$

canonically, we are done. $\square$

A Some linear algebra

In this appendix we prove Lemma A.1 (cf. Sect. 3.4.1). Let A be a commutative ring of characteristic 0. Let M be a bounded complex of A -modules. Then S_d acts on the complex $\bigotimes_{i=1}^d M$, and we put $\text{Sym}^d(M) = (\bigotimes_{i=1}^d M)^{S_d}$. Clearly, $\text{Sym}^{k+l}(M)$ is a direct summand of $\text{Sym}^k(M) \otimes_A \text{Sym}^l(M)$, so that we have both natural maps

$$\text{Sym}^k(M) \otimes_A \text{Sym}^l(M) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k+l}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sym}^{k+l}(M) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k(M) \otimes_A \text{Sym}^l(M)$$

We will be interested in complexes M of the form $(\cdots \rightarrow M^{-2} \rightarrow M^{-1} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0)$, i.e., we suppose that $M^0 = A$ and $M^i = 0$ for $i > 0$. Denote by $\sigma_{\leq k}, \sigma_{\geq k}$ the 'foolish' troncation functors. Put $V = \sigma_{\leq -1} M$, so the sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Define a morphism $f_d : \text{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{d+1}(M)$ as the composition $A \otimes \text{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow M \otimes \text{Sym}^d(M) = \text{Sym}^1(M) \otimes \text{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{d+1}(M)$. We get an inductive system of complexes $(\text{Sym}^d(M), f_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$. Put $\text{Sym}^\infty(M) = \varinjlim \text{Sym}^d(M)$.

Lemma A.2. *For any $d \geq 0$ the sequence of complexes*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^d(M) \xrightarrow{f_d} \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where the second arrow is defined by functoriality from the natural morphism $M \rightarrow V$.

Proof If $k \leq 0$ then $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d+1} M)^k$ is the direct sum

$$\left[\bigotimes_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_{d+1} = k \\ i_j = 0 \text{ for some } j}} M^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes M^{i_{d+1}} \right] \oplus \left[\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d+1} V \right)^k \right]$$

The group S_{d+1} acts on every summand in square brackets. It is easy to understand that the invariants

$$\left[\bigotimes_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_{d+1} = k \\ i_j = 0 \text{ for some } j}} M^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes M^{i_{d+1}} \right]^{S_{d+1}}$$

are identified with $(\mathrm{Sym}^d(M))^k$. $\square$

From the above lemma it follows that the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M)$ is injective and $\sigma_{\geq -d} \mathrm{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow \sigma_{\geq -d} \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M)$ is an isomorphism for $d \geq 0$. So, $\mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M)$ is a filtered complex with the filtration $(\mathrm{Sym}^d(M))_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since the morphisms $\mathrm{Sym}^k(M) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^l(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{k+l}(M)$ are compatible with f_d , by passing to the limit we get the morphism of multiplication $\mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M)$ (compatible with the above filtration).

Lemma A.3. *Suppose that $M = (M^{-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} A)$, i.e., $M^i = 0$ for $i < -1$. Then $\mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M)$ is the Koszul complex for M . In addition,*

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow \sigma_{\geq -d} \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M)$$

is an isomorphism for any $d \geq 0$. $\square$

Now we impose on M the additional condition: $M^i = 0$ for $i < -2$ and $M^{-2} = A$, so $M = (A \rightarrow M^{-1} \rightarrow A)$. Then we have

Lemma A.4. *Let $d \geq 0$.*

- 1) *For any k we have $(\mathrm{Sym}^d(M))^k = (\mathrm{Sym}^d(M))^{-2d-k}$ canonically.*
- 2) *If $0 \leq k \leq d$ then $(\mathrm{Sym}^d(M))^{-k} = \wedge^k(M^{-1}) \oplus \wedge^{k-2}(M^{-1}) \oplus \wedge^{k-4}(M^{-1}) \oplus \dots$* $\square$

Set $W = \sigma_{\geq -1} M$. Pick $c_1 \in A^*$ and consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow M \rightarrow A[2] \rightarrow 0$, where $M \rightarrow A[2]$ is given by $M^{-2} \xrightarrow{c_1} A$. Let us define a morphism $g_d : \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^d(M)[2]$ as the composition $\mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^1(M) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^d(M) = M \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^d(M) \rightarrow (A[2]) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^d(M)$. The proof of the next result is analogous to that of Lemma A.2.

Lemma A.5. *For any $d \geq 0$ the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(M) \xrightarrow{g_d} \mathrm{Sym}^d(M)[2] \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where the first arrow is defined by functoriality from the natural map $W \rightarrow M$. $\square$

Remark 7. i) The map $(\mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(M))^k \xrightarrow{g_d} (\mathrm{Sym}^d(M))^{k+2}$ is described as follows. For $-d-1 \leq k \leq 0$ this is the morphism

$$\wedge^{-k}(M^{-1}) \oplus \wedge^{-k-2}(M^{-1}) \oplus \dots \rightarrow \wedge^{-k-2}(M^{-1}) \oplus \dots$$

that sends $\wedge^{-k}(M^{-1})$ to zero and induces isomorphisms on the others direct summands. For $k < -d-1$ this is an isomorphism preserving the direct sum decomposition.

ii) Passing to the limit we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M) \xrightarrow{g} \mathrm{Sym}^\infty(M)[2] \rightarrow 0$$

Denote by ξ_d the composition $\mathrm{Sym}^d(M) \xrightarrow{f_d} \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}(M) \xrightarrow{g_d} \mathrm{Sym}^d(M)[2]$. In particular, ξ_1 is given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow M^{-1} \rightarrow A \\ \downarrow c_1 \\ A \rightarrow M^{-1} \rightarrow A \end{array}$$

Define the morphism $\xi : \bigotimes_{i=1}^d M \rightarrow \bigotimes_{i=1}^d M[2]$ as $\xi_1 \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{id} + \dots + \mathrm{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \xi_1$. Then the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigotimes_{i=1}^d M & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \bigotimes_{i=1}^d M[2] \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathrm{Sym}^d(M) & \xrightarrow{\xi_d} & \mathrm{Sym}^d(M)[2] \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma A.1

$\mathrm{Sym}^\infty(W)$ is the Koszul complex for $\partial(e_1), \dots, \partial(e_r) \in A$, so that the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^\infty(W) \rightarrow A/I$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Our assertion follows now from Lemmas A.5 and A.2. $\square$

B Chern classes

Let S be a smooth separated scheme of finite type, $\mathcal{A}$ an invertible $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module, $f : Y \rightarrow S$ the total space of $\mathcal{A}$ with removed zero section. Fix $n > 0$ invertible as a function on S (we don't need here S to be defined over k).

Lemma B.1. *The complex $f_!\mu_n$ is included into a distinguished triangle $f_!\mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[-2] \xrightarrow{c(\mathcal{A})} \mu_n$ on S , where $c(\mathcal{A}) \in H^2(S, \mu_n)$ is the Chern class of $\mathcal{A}$.*

To prove this we need two lemmas.

Lemma B.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category, $D(\mathcal{A})$ be its derived category. Let $K' \xrightarrow{\alpha} K \rightarrow K''$ be a distinguished triangle in $D(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that the morphism $H^i(K'') \rightarrow H^{i+1}(K')$ is surjective. Then there is a unique morphism $\tau_{\leq i+1}K' \rightarrow \tau_{\leq i}K$ such that the composition $\tau_{\leq i+1}K' \rightarrow \tau_{\leq i}K \rightarrow \tau_{\leq i+1}K$ is obtained from α by applying the functor $\tau_{\leq i+1}$, and the triangle $\tau_{\leq i+1}K' \rightarrow \tau_{\leq i}K \rightarrow \tau_{\leq i}K''$ is distinguished. $\square$*

Lemma B.3. *The complex $f_*\mu_n$ is included into a distinguished triangle $f_*\mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[-1] \xrightarrow{c(\mathcal{A})} \mu_n[1]$, where $c(\mathcal{A}) \in H^2(S, \mu_n)$ is the Chern class of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof The Kummer exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$ on S defines a distinguished triangle $\mathbb{G}_m[1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m[1] \xrightarrow{\delta} \mu_n[2]$, and the Chern class of $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic } S = \text{Hom}_D(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m[1])$ is the composition $\delta \circ \mathcal{A} \in \text{Hom}_D(\mathbb{Z}, \mu_n[2])$ of morphisms in the derived category.

Consider now the Kummer exact sequence on Y . It provides a distinguished triangle $f_*\mu_n \rightarrow f_*\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow f_*\mathbb{G}_m$ on S . As is easily seen, the morphism $(R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow (R^1f_*)\mu_n$ is surjective (the question is local for the étale topology on S , and one can assume f to be the projection $S \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow S$). Since $\tau_{\leq 1}f_*\mu_n \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*\mu_n$, by Lemma B.2 we get a distinguished triangle

$$f_*\mu_n \rightarrow (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\varkappa} (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m$$

Let us now construct a morphism $(R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. If U is a smooth scheme then

$$H^0(U \times \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(U, \mathbb{G}_m) \times H^0(U, \mathbb{Z})$$

canonically. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be an étale morphism. Put $Y' = S' \times_S Y$ and denote by $g : \mathbb{G}_m \times Y' \rightarrow Y'$ the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on Y' . Let $s \in H^0(S', (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m)$. Since Y' is smooth, to $s \circ g$ there corresponds an element of $H^0(Y', \mathbb{Z}) = H^0(S', \mathbb{Z})$. This provides a morphism $(R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. It is included into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the first arrow comes from the natural morphism $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow f_*f^*\mathbb{G}_m$. Using Čech coverings one proves that the corresponding element of $\text{Ext}_S^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Pic } S$ is $\mathcal{A}$. In other words, we get a distinguished triangle $(R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{G}_m[1]$ on S .

The morphism $\varkappa$ yields a morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \rightarrow & (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow x \mapsto x^n & & \downarrow \varkappa & & \downarrow n \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \rightarrow & (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The latter provides a commutative diagram, where the rows and columns are distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \mathbb{G}_m[1] \\ \downarrow \varkappa & & \downarrow n & & \downarrow \\ (R^0f_*)\mathbb{G}_m & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \mathbb{G}_m[1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ f_*\mu_n[1] & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & \mu_n[2] \end{array}$$

So, the morphism $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mu_n[2]$ in the lowest row is $c(\mathcal{A})$. $\square$

Lemma B.1 follows from Lemma B.3 by Verdier duality.

References

- [1] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, *Astérisque* 100 (1983), p. 1-172
- [2] P. Deligne, Rapport sur la formule des traces, in: *SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$*
- [3] V. Drinfeld, Two-dimensional ℓ -adic representations of the fundamental group of a curve over a finite field and automorphic forms on $GL(2)$, *Amer. J. Math.*, 105, p. 85-114 (1983)
- [4] T. Ekedahl, On the adic formalism, in: *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, vol. 2, *Progress in Math.*, 87 (1990), p. 197-218
- [5] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory, D. Kazhdan, K. Vilonen, Geometric realization of Whittaker functions and the Langlands conjecture, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11 (1998) 451-484
- [6] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory, K. Vilonen, Whittaker patterns in the geometry of moduli spaces of bundles on curves, *math.AG/9907133*
- [7] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory, K. Vilonen, On the geometric Langlands conjecture, preprint *math.AG/0012255*
- [8] O. Gabber, F. Loeser, Faisceaux pervers ℓ -adiques sur un tore, *Duke Math. J.*, 83 (1996), no. 3, p. 501-606.
- [9] G. Laumon, Correspondance de Langlands géométrique pour les corps de fonctions, *Duke Math. J.*, (1987) vol. 54, No. 2, p. 309-359.
- [10] G. Laumon, Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil, *Publ. Math. IHES* (1987), No. 65, p. 131-210.
- [11] G. Laumon, Transformation de Fourier généralisée, *alg-geom/9603004*
- [12] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Springer, A series of modern surveys in math., vol. 39 (2000)
- [13] S. Lysenko, Orthogonality relations between the automorphic sheaves attached to 2-dimensional irreducible local systems on a curve, PhD thesis (1999)
http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~biblio/the/1999/2111/the_1999_2111.html
- [14] S. Lysenko, Local geometrized Rankin-Selberg method for $GL(n)$, to appear in *Duke Math. J.*
- [15] B.C. Ngô, Preuve d'une conjecture de Frenkel-Gaitsgory-Kazhdan-Vilonen pour les groupes linéaires généraux, *Israel J. Math.*, 120 (2000), part A, p. 259-270.

- [16] M.Rothstein, Sheaves with connections on abelian varieties, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), no. 3, p. 565-598.
- [17] M. Schlessinger, Functors of Artin rings, Trans.AMS, vol.130, n.2 (1968).

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD, BÂT. 425, MATHÉMATIQUES, 91405 ORSAY FRANCE
e-mail: **Sergey.Lysenko@math.u-psud.fr**